arXiv:1604.03216v4 [math.AG] 8 Sep 2016

INTEGRALS OF LOGARITHMIC FORMS ON SEMI-ALGEBRAIC SETS AND A GENERALIZED CAUCHY FORMULA PART II: GENERALIZED CAUCHY FORMULA

MASAKI HANAMURA, KENICHIRO KIMURA AND TOMOHIDE TERASOMA

Abstract. This paper is the continuation of the paper arXiv:1509.06950, which is Part I under the same title. In this paper, we prove a generalized Cauchy formula for the integrals of logarithmic forms on products of projective lines, and give an application to the construction of Hodge realization of mixed Tate motives.

[1]

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Admissible $\mathcal{F}$-chain complex 5
2.1. Semi-algebraic triangulation 5
2.2. Chain complex with the coefficients in a sheaf. 7
2.3. Cubical Scheme $P^\bullet$ and $AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F})$ 8
2.4. Subdivision and inductive limit 10
2.5. Moving lemma for $AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F})$ 10
3. Face map and cubical differential 13
3.1. Thom cocycle 14
3.2. The cap product with a Thom cocycle 15
3.3. Cubical differentials 17
4. The Generalized Cauchy formula 19
4.1. Statement of the generalized Cauchy formula 19
4.2. Outline of the proof of Theorem 4.3 20
4.3. Proof of Proposition 4.4 23
4.4. Proof of Proposition 4.6 24
4.5. Proof of Proposition 4.14 29
5. Construction of the Hodge realization functor. 32
5.1. Cycle complexes and graded DGA N 32
5.2. The complexes $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ 33
5.3. The homomorphism $N \to \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$ and augmentation maps 35
5.4. The bar complex 35
5.5. Mixed Hodge structure $\mathcal{H}_{Hg}$ 37
5.6. Coaction of $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{Hg}$ and a Hodge realization functor 39
5.7. The case of dilogarithm 42

[1]2010 Mathematics subject classification. Primary 14P10, 14C15, 14C30. Secondary 14C25

Appendix A. Properties of cap products 44
A.1. Some facts on homotopy 44
A.2. Independence of ordering 44
A.3. Compatibility with the subdivision map 46
A.4. Relations between the cap product and the cup product 47
References 49

1. Introduction

This paper is the continuation of the paper [8], which is Part I under the same title. In this paper, we prove a generalized Cauchy formula for the integrals of logarithmic forms on products $P^n = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ of projective lines $\mathbb{P}^1$. As an application, we give a variant of the construction of the Hodge realization functor for the category of mixed Tate motives defined by Bloch and Kriz [3]. In the sequel to this paper, we prove that our construction coincides with the original one defined by Bloch and Kriz. The motivation of our series of papers is to understand the construction of the Hodge realization functor via integral of logarithmic differential forms.

Before going into the detail, we explain a simple example of the generalized Cauchy formula. Let $\omega_2 = \dfrac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \dfrac{dz_2}{z_2}$, $\omega_1 = \dfrac{dz_2}{z_2}$ be holomorphic two and one forms on $(\mathbb{C} - \{0\})^2$ and $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$. Let $0 < a < b$ be real numbers and $\overline{D} = \{z_1 \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z_1| \leq 1\}$ be the closed unit disk. Let $\sigma = \overline{D} \times [a, b]$ be a 3-chain in $\mathbb{C}^2$. We give an appropriate orientation on σ. Its topological boundary is denoted by $\delta\sigma$. Then we have the following identity, called the generalized Cauchy formula:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\delta\sigma} \omega_2 =& \int_{\delta\overline{D}\times[a,b]} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \frac{dz_2}{z_2} + \int_{(\overline{D}\times\{b\})-(\overline{D}\times\{a\})} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \\ =& 2\pi i \int_{[a,b]} \frac{dz_2}{z_2} = 2\pi i \int_{\sigma\cap(\{0\}\times\mathbb{C})} \omega_1.\end{aligned} \tag{1.0.1}$$

In the leftmost side of the above equality, although the differential form ω_2 is not defined on $\delta\sigma \cap (0 \times \mathbb{C})$, the integral is defined as an improper integral. ¿From the first row to the second row, we use Fubini's theorem and the classical Cauchy formula. To generalize the above formula, we define

(1) suitable subspaces $AC_3(\mathbb{C}^2)$ and $AC_2(\mathbb{C}^2)$ of 3-chains and 2-chains in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and a sub space $AC_1(\mathbb{C})$ of 1-chains in $\mathbb{C}$, and
(2) a "face map" $\partial : AC_3(\mathbb{C}^2) \to AC_1(\mathbb{C})$ which generalizes the above operation $\sigma \mapsto \sigma \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{C})$ taking multiplicities into account.

satisfying the following property.

(1) The topological boundary map δ induces the map $\delta : AC_3(\mathbb{C}^2) \to AC_2(\mathbb{C}^2)$.
(2) The improper integrals $\displaystyle\int_{\gamma_2} \omega_2$ and $\displaystyle\int_{\gamma_1} \omega_1$ converge for $\gamma_2 \in AC_2(\mathbb{C}^2)$ and $\gamma_1 \in AC_1(\mathbb{C})$.

Using the above setting, the generalized Cauchy formula (1.0.1) is interpreted as the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} AC_3(\mathbb{C}^2) & \stackrel{\delta}{\to} & AC_2(\mathbb{C}^2) \\ {\scriptstyle \partial}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle I_2} \\ AC_1(\mathbb{C}) & \stackrel{I_1}{\to} & \mathbb{C}, \end{array}$$

where the map I_2 (resp. I_1) is defined by the improper integral $\gamma_2 \mapsto \int_{\gamma_2} \omega_2$ (resp. $\gamma_1 \mapsto \int_{\gamma_1} \omega_2$) for $\gamma_2 \in AC_2(\mathbb{C}^2)$ (resp. $\gamma_1 \in AC_1(\mathbb{C})$).

In this paper, we study the generalized Cauchy formula under the setting of the cubical scheme $\{P^n\}_n$ with $P^n = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n = \{(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mid z_i \in \mathbb{P}^1\}$. (For the definition of a cubical scheme, see §2.3). Here the face maps are given by the composites of the maps of the following type:

$$f_{i,\alpha} : P^{n-1} \to P^n : (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto (z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1}, \alpha, z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_n) \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n, \alpha = 0, \infty).$$

Let $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ be the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Q}$ or the complex of sheaves of C^∞-differential forms on $P^n = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$, and $\mathbf{D}^n$ be the divisor of P^n defined by $\prod_i^n (z_i - 1) = 0$. For a good semi-algebraic triangulation K of P^n (see Definition 2.7), the relative chain complex of $(K, \mathbf{D}^n)$ with the coefficients in $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ is denoted by $C_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{A}^\bullet)$. With the differential d of $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ and the differential δ for the chain complex, $C_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{A}^\bullet)$ becomes a double complex. We define a subcomplex $AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{A}^\bullet)$ of $C_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{A}^\bullet)$ consisting of elements satisfying admissibility conditions (see Definition 2.9).

By taking the inductive limit of $AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{A}^\bullet)$ for all good semi-algebraic triangulation of P^n, we get a double complex $AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^\bullet)$. The differential of the associated simple complex

$$s(AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^\bullet))_m = \oplus_{q-p=m} AC_q(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$$

is denoted by $\mathbf{d}$.

In §3, we define a face map

$$\partial_{n,i,\alpha} : s(AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^\bullet)) \to s(AC_{\bullet-2}(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^\bullet)) \quad (1 \le i \le n, \alpha = 0, \infty)$$

with respect to the hypersurface $H_{i,\alpha} = \{z_i = \alpha\}$ by the cap product with a Thom cocycle T. The face map depends only on the cohomology class of T. By taking summation of $\partial_{n,i,\alpha}$ over the index (i, α) with signs, we have the total face map

$$\partial : s(AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^\bullet)) \to s(AC_{\bullet-2}(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^\bullet))$$

and show that it is a differential (Proposition 3.8). By the differential ∂, we get a double complex

$$\left[\ldots \stackrel{\partial}{\to} s(AC_\bullet(P^2, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^\bullet))[-4] \stackrel{\partial}{\to} s(AC_\bullet(P^1, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^\bullet))[-2] \stackrel{\partial}{\to} s(AC_\bullet(P^0, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^\bullet)) \to 0 \right]$$

and the associated simple complex is denoted by $AC^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$. It is the associated simple complex of a triple complex whose differentials come from three differential: the topological boundary, exterior derivative of differential forms and face map.

We prove a generalized Cauchy formula in §4. Let $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ be the complex of sheaves of differential forms on P^n. Let $\gamma = \sum \sigma \cdot a_\sigma$ be an element of $AC_{n+p}(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$, where σ's

are simplexes in a triangulation K of P^n and a_σ is a C^∞ p-form on a neighborhood of σ. Let ω_n be the rational differential form on P^n defined by

$$\omega_n = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\frac{dz_1}{z_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{dz_n}{z_n}.$$

Then we apply the results of Part I and show that the integral $\int_\sigma a_\sigma\wedge\omega_n$ converges absolutely if $|\sigma|$ is admissible (Theorem 4.1) and we define a homomorphism $I_{n,p}$ by the following integration:

$$I_{n,p} : AC_{n+p}(P^n,\mathbf{D};\mathcal{A}^p)\to\mathbb{C} : \sum\sigma\cdot a_\sigma\mapsto(-1)^{\frac{(n+p)(n+p-1)}{2}}\sum_\sigma\int_\sigma a_\sigma\wedge\omega_n.$$

We set $I_n=\sum_p I_{n,p}$. Then the generalized Cauchy formula (Theorem 4.3) asserts the commutativity of the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s(AC_\bullet(P^n,\mathbf{D};\mathcal{A}^\bullet))_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{d}} & s(AC_\bullet(P^n,\mathbf{D};\mathcal{A}^\bullet))_n \\ \partial\downarrow & & \downarrow I_n \\ s(AC_\bullet(P^{n-1},\mathbf{D};\mathcal{A}^\bullet))_{n-1} & \xrightarrow[(-1)^{n-1}I_{n-1}]{} & \mathbb{C} \end{array} \tag{1.0.2}$$

For an element $\gamma\in AC_{n+p+1}(P^n,\mathbf{D};\mathcal{A}^p)$, the commutativity of (1.0.2) can be written as the equality

$$\underbrace{I(\delta\gamma)}_{\substack{\text{topological}\\ \text{boundary}}} + \underbrace{(-1)^{n+p+1}I(d\gamma)}_{\text{exterior derivative}} = \underbrace{(-1)^{n-1}I(\partial\gamma)}_{\text{total face map}}$$

If the support of γ does not hit the face, the left hand side vanishes by the Stokes formula. In general, the correction term for the Stokes formula arising from the logarithmic singularity is computed in terms of $\partial(\gamma)$ as in the right hand side.

The commutativity of diagram (1.0.2) implies that the map

$$I=\sum_n I_n : AC^\bullet(\mathcal{A})\to\mathbb{C}.$$

is a homomorphism of complexes. We define $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$ as the alternating part of $AC^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ and $AC^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$. Then $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$ become DGA's and the map I induces an augmentations of $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$.

Using the DGA $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$, we construct a variant of the Hodge realization functor for the category of mixed Tate motives in §5. We briefly recall the construction of the category of mixed Tate motives given in the paper of Bloch and Kriz ([3]). Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. Bloch defines a graded DGA $N_\mathbf{k}$ of algebraic cycle complexes of $\mathbf{k}$. The 0-th cohomology $\mathcal{H}=H^0(B(N_\mathbf{k}))$ of the bar complex $B(N_\mathbf{k})$ of $N_\mathbf{k}$ becomes a commutative Hopf algebra with a grading $\mathcal{H}=\oplus_i\mathcal{H}_i$. They define the category of mixed Tate motives as that of graded comodules over the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$. They also define the ℓ-adic and the Hodge realization functors from the category of mixed Tate motives over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{k})$ to that of ℓ-adic Galois representations of the field $\mathbf{k}$, and that of mixed Tate Hodge structures.

In [3], they also present an alternative construction of the Hodge realization functor using integrals of logarithmic differential forms ω_n on P^n under the assumption of the existence of a certain DGA $\mathcal{DP}$ satisfying the following conditions.

(a) The DGA $\mathcal{DP}$ contains the DGA $N_\mathbf{k}$,

(b) The integral of the form $\dfrac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \dfrac{dz_n}{z_n}$ on elements in $\mathcal{DP}$ converges.
(c) The generalized Cauchy integral formula holds for the integral in (b).
(d) The natural map $\tau^* : H^*(B(N)) \to H^*(B(\mathcal{DP}, N, \mathbb{Q}))$ is 0, which implies the E_1-degeneracy of the spectral sequence obtained from a certain filtration on $B(\mathcal{DP}, N, \mathbb{Q})$ (For the precise statement see [3], (8.6)).

We use $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$ in place of $\mathcal{DP}$, which enjoys the following properties:

(1) There exists a natural injection $N_{\mathbf{k}} \to \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$. Via this map, we define an $\mathcal{H}$ comodule $\mathcal{H}_B$ in the Definition 5.4.
(2) There is a canonical map $\mathbb{Q} \to \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$ which is a quasi-isomorphism.
(3) The above homomorphism I defines an augmentation $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$ to $\mathbb{C}$.

The convergence theorem (Theorem 4.1) and the generalized Cauchy formula (Theorem 4.3) are used for the construction of I in (3). Using the property (2), we show the E_1-degeneracy of the spectral sequence obtained from a similar filtration on $B(\mathbb{Q}, N, \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q}))$ as in (d). More precisely, we consider a twisted version $\mathcal{B}_B$ (§5.5) of $B(\mathbb{Q}, N, \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q}))$. The property (2) is a consequence of the moving lemma Proposition 2.11.

We also define an $\mathcal{H}$ comodule $\mathcal{H}_{dR}$ in Definition 5.4. The augmentation I in (3) yields a comparison isomorphism $c : \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{H}_{dR}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{Hg}$, and via this comparison map, we construct a "universal" mixed Hodge structure $\mathcal{H}_{Hg} = (\mathcal{H}_B, \mathcal{H}_{dR}, c)$ with a left "coaction" Δ_{Hg} of $\mathcal{H}$ (see (5.6.4)). We define a functor Φ from the category of graded right $H^0(B(N_{\mathbf{k}}))$-comodules (V, Δ_V) to that of mixed Tate Hodge structures by the "twisted cotensor product"

$$\Phi(V) = \ker\left(\bigoplus_i V_i \otimes \mathcal{H}_{Hg}(-i) \xrightarrow{\Delta_V \otimes id - id \otimes \Delta_{Hg}} \bigoplus_{i,j} V_i \otimes \mathcal{H}_j \otimes \mathcal{H}_{Hg}(-i-j)\right).$$

In the sequel to this paper, we will prove that the the above functor Φ is isomorphic to that defined by Bloch-Kriz. We remark that for the construction of the object $\mathcal{H}_{Hg}$, it suffices to consider the complex $\mathcal{AC}_\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$, and not $\mathcal{AC}_\bullet(\mathcal{A})$. We need to generalize the coefficients to compare the two definitions of the Hodge realizations i.e. the one given in this paper, and the one defined by Bloch-Kriz.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Professor T. Suwa for helpful discussions on the intersection theory of semi-algebraic sets.

2. Admissible $\mathcal{F}$-chain complex

In this section, we recall some basic facts on semi-algebraic triangulation of a real algebraic variety. Using such a triangulation K of $P^n = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$, we define a chain complex $C_\bullet(K; \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ for K with the coefficients in a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ or a complex of sheaves $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ on P^n. By imposing conditions on the dimensions of the intersections with cubical faces, we define the subcomplex $AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ of admissible chains in $C_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$. We also prove a moving lemma for $AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$.

2.1. **Semi-algebraic triangulation.** A simplex in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex hull of affinely independent points $a_0, \cdots, a_k$ be $k+1$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. *A finite simplicial complex* of an Euclidean space is a finite set consisting of (non-oriented) simplexes K such that (1) all faces of s belong to K for all $s \in K$ and (2) $s \cap t$ is either the empty set or a common face of s and t for all

$s, t \in K$. We denote by K_p the set of p-simplexes of K. For a simplex σ in K, the relative interior of σ (=the complement of the union of the proper faces of σ) is denoted by σ°. For a finite simplicial complex K, the union of the supports of the simplexes in K is denoted by $|K|$.

As for the definition of semi-algebraic set and their fundamental properties, see [4].

Theorem 2.1 ([4], Theorem 9.2.1)**.** *Let P be a compact semi-algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$. The set P is triangulable, i.e. there exists a finite simplicial complex K and a semi-algebraic homeomorphism $\Phi_K : |K| \to P$. Moreover, for a given finite family $S = \{S_j\}_{j=1,\cdots,q}$ of semi-algebraic subsets of P, we can choose a finite simplicial complex K and a semi-algebraic homeomorphism $\Phi_K : |K| \to P$ such that every S_j is the union of a subset of $\{\Phi_K(\sigma^\circ)\}_{\sigma \in K}$.*

Remark 2.2. *By* [4]*, Remark 9.2.3 (a) the map Φ_K can be taken so that the map Φ_K is facewise regular embedding i.e. for each $\sigma \in K$, $\Phi_K(\sigma^\circ)$ is a regular submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^m$.*

Recall that a subcomplex L of a simplicial complex K is called a full subcomplex , if all the vertices of a simplex σ in K belong to L, then σ belongs to L.

Notation 2.3. *For a subcomplex L of K, the space $|L|$ is a subspace of $|K|$. A subset of $|K|$ of the form $|L|$ is also called a subcomplex. If a subset S of $|K|$ is equal to $|M|$ for a subcomplex M of K, then M is often denoted by $K \cap S$.*

Definition 2.4 (Good triangulation)**.** (1) *The pair (K, Φ_K) as in Theorem 2.1 is called a semi-algebraic triangulation of P; we will then identify $|K|$ with P. A projective real or complex variety V is a compact semi-algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$ by* [4] *Theorem 3.4.4, thus the above theorem applies to V.*

(2) *Let P be a compact semi-algebraic set subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{L} = \{L_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a finite family of semi-algebraic subset of P. A semi-algebraic triangulation $K = (K, \Phi_K)$ of P is called a good triangulation with respect to $\mathcal{L}$ if it satisfies the following conditions.*
   (a) *The map $\Phi_K : |K| \to P^n$ is facewise regular embedding. cf. Remark 2.2.*
   (b) *For each $L_i \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists a full subcomplex M_i of K such that $L_i = |M_i|$. We denote M_i by $K \cap L_i$. .*

In particular, if K is a good triangulation, then for any simplex σ of K and $L_i \in \mathcal{L}$, the intersection $\sigma \cap L_i$ is a face of σ.

Definition 2.5. *Let $(K, \Phi_K : |K| \to P)$ be a triangulation of a compact semi-algebraic set P. Another triangulation $(K', \Phi_{K'} : |K'| \to P)$ is* a subdivision of K *if :*

(1) *The image of each simplex of K' under the map $\Phi_{K'}$ is contained in the image of a simplex of K under the map Φ_K.*
(2) *The image of each simplex of K under the map Φ_K is the union of the images of simplexes of K' under Φ_L.*

Remark 2.6. *For a simplicial complex K, we denote by $\operatorname{sd} K$ its barycentric subdivision. If L is a subcomplex of K, then $\operatorname{sd} L$ is a full subcomplex of $\operatorname{sd} K$.*

Let K be a semi-algebraic triangulation of P and $\{L_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a finite family of semi-algebraic subsets of P. If K is a facewise regular embedding, and L_i is a subcomplex of K for all $i \in I$, then $\operatorname{sd} K$ is a good triangulation with respect to $\{\operatorname{sd} L_i\}_{i \in I}$.

2.2. **Chain complex with the coefficients in a sheaf.** Let P be a compact semi-algebraic set, and let K be a semi-algebraic triangulation of P. Via the homeomorphism Φ_K, the set in $|K|$ corresponding to σ is denoted by the same notation. For an oriented simplex σ, the underlying space of σ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called the support of σ and denoted by $|\sigma|$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf on P. For an oriented simplex σ in K, we set

$$\mathcal{F}(\sigma) = \varinjlim_{U \supset |\sigma|} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}).$$

Here the inductive limit is taken over the set of open neighborhoods of $|\sigma|$. We set

$$C_p(K; \mathcal{F}) = \Big(\bigoplus_{\sigma} \mathcal{F}(\sigma) \Big) / D.$$

Here the summation is taken over all p-dimensional oriented simplexes of K, and

$$D = \{ \sum \sigma \cdot a_\sigma \,|\, a_\sigma = a_{\sigma^*} \text{ if } \sigma \text{ and } \sigma^* \text{ are the same simplex with opposite orientations} \}.$$

Once we choose an orientation for each p-simplex in K, we have an isomorphism

$$C_p(K; \mathcal{F}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\sigma} \mathcal{F}(\sigma) \tag{2.2.1}$$

where σ varies over the set of p-simplexes equipped with the chosen orientation. We will briefly express this as

$$C_p(K; \mathcal{F}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\sigma \in K_p} \mathcal{F}(\sigma)\,.$$

Thus, when we write "$\sigma \in K_p$" under a summation, it is agreed upon that an orientation has been chosen for each p-simplex, and the sum is being taken over those oriented simplexes. Following the same convention, an element γ in $C_p(K, \mathcal{F})$ can be *uniquely* written as

$$\gamma = \sum_{\sigma \in K_p} \sigma \cdot a_\sigma \qquad \text{with} \quad a_\sigma \in \mathcal{F}(\sigma)\,.$$

The element a_σ is called the coefficient of σ in γ.

The module $C_\bullet(K; \mathcal{F})$ becomes a chain complex with the differential δ defined by

$$\delta(\sigma \cdot a) = \sum_{\nu \prec \sigma} \nu \cdot [\sigma : \nu](a|_{|\nu|}) \in C_{p-1}(K; \mathcal{F}), \tag{2.2.2}$$

for $\sigma \in K_p, a \in \mathcal{F}(\sigma)$. Here, the coefficient $a|_{|\nu|}$ is the element of $\mathcal{F}(\nu)$ defined by the restriction of the element $a \in \mathcal{F}(\sigma)$ to a neighborhood of $|\nu|$. The relation $\nu \prec \sigma$ means that ν is a codimension one face of σ, and $[\sigma : \nu] \in \{1, -1\}$ is the sign of ν in the boundary of σ. If $\mathcal{F}$ is the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$, $C_\bullet(K, \mathbb{Z})$ is denoted by $C_\bullet(K)$. The cochain complex of K is denoted by $C^\bullet(K)$.

For a subcomplex L of K the complex $C_\bullet(L; \mathcal{F}|_{|L|})$ is denoted by $C_\bullet(L; \mathcal{F})$. Since we have $\mathcal{F}(\sigma) = \mathcal{F}|_{|L|}(\sigma)$ for a simplex $\sigma \in L$, $C_\bullet(L; \mathcal{F})$ is a subcomplex of $C_\bullet(K; \mathcal{F})$ and we set

$$C_\bullet(K, L; \mathcal{F}) = C_\bullet(K; \mathcal{F}) / C_\bullet(L; \mathcal{F}).$$

An element γ in $C_p(K,L,\mathcal{F})$ is represented uniquely by the element

$$\sum_{\sigma\in K_p\setminus L_p}\sigma\cdot a_\sigma \tag{2.2.3}$$

of $C_p(K,\mathcal{F})$. It is called *the standard representative* of γ and also denoted by γ. A subcomplex of cochain complex $C^\bullet(K)$ consisting of elements vanishing on L is denoted by $C^\bullet(K,L)$.

Since the complex $C_\bullet(K;\mathcal{F})$ is functorial on a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$, for a complex of sheaves $\cdots\to\mathcal{F}^q\xrightarrow{d}\mathcal{F}^{q+1}\to\cdots$ on P, we get a double complex

$$\cdots\to C_\bullet(K;\mathcal{F}^q)\to C_\bullet(K;\mathcal{F}^{q+1})\to\cdots.$$

To define the total differential, we define a differential $d: C_p(K;\mathcal{F}^q)\to C_p(K;\mathcal{F}^{q+1})$ by

$$d(\sigma\cdot a)=\sigma\cdot(-1)^p da\in C_p(K;\mathcal{F}^{q+1}) \tag{2.2.4}$$

for $\sigma\in K_p$, $a\in\mathcal{F}^q(\sigma)$. Since $d\delta+\delta d=0$, the map $\mathbf{d}=\delta+d$ is a differential of the associated simple complex $s(C_\bullet(K;\mathcal{F}^\bullet))=\oplus_{p,q}C_p(K;\mathcal{F}^q)$, which is called *the total differential*. The homological degree of the associated simple complex is defined by

$$s(C_\bullet(K;\mathcal{F}^\bullet))_j=\oplus_{p-q=j}C_p(K;\mathcal{F}^q).$$

The associated simple complex of $C_\bullet(K,L;\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ is denoted by $s(C_\bullet(K,L;\mathcal{F}^\bullet))$.

2.3. **Cubical Scheme $P^\bullet$ and $AC_\bullet(P^n,\mathbf{D};\mathcal{F})$.** We recall some notations for cubical schemes from [13]. Consider the finite sets $\underline{n}=\{0,\infty\}^n$ for $n\geq 0$. Define the subcategory (Cub) (see [13]) of (Set) with objects $\underline{n}$ whose morphisms are maps obtained by composites of the following ones:

(1) the injective map (resp. surjective map) $f_{n,i,\alpha}$ $(i=1,\ldots,n+1,\alpha=0,\infty)$ (resp. $p_{n,i}$ $(i=1,\ldots,n)$) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n,i,\alpha}&:\underline{n}\to\underline{n+1}:(y_1,\ldots,y_n)\mapsto(y_1,\ldots,y_{i-1},\alpha,y_i,\ldots,y_n)\\ (\text{resp. } p_{n,i}&:\underline{n}\to\underline{n-1}:(y_1,\ldots,y_n)\mapsto(y_1,\ldots,y_{i-1},y_{i+1},\ldots,y_n)),\end{aligned} \tag{2.3.5}$$

(2) a permutation of factors

$$(y_1,\ldots,y_n)\mapsto(y_{\sigma^{-1}(1)},\ldots,y_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}) \tag{2.3.6}$$

on $\underline{n}$ for $\sigma\in S_n$, which is also denoted by σ, and

(3) an involution $\iota_{n,i}$ exchanging 0 and ∞ in the i-th factor of $\underline{n}$.

The cubical scheme $X_\bullet$ is a covariant functor $(Cub)\to(Sch)$. Thus $X_\bullet$ consists of schemes X_n and maps $X(f):X_m\to X_n$ for $f:\underline{m}\to\underline{n}$ in (Cub), subject to functoriality. Let ι be the involution of $\mathbb{P}^1$ exchanging 0 and ∞ and fixing 1. We define a cubical scheme $P^\bullet$ by the following functor:

(1) $\underline{n}\mapsto P^n=(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ for $\underline{n}\in ob(Cub)$,
(2) $f_{n,i,\alpha}\mapsto(f_{n,i,\alpha}:P^n\to P^{n+1})$ and $p_{n,i}\mapsto(p_{n,i}:P^n\to P^{n-1})$, where $f_{n,i,\alpha}$ and $p_{n,i}$ on the right hand sides are morphism of schemes given by the formula (2.3.5), and
(3) an element σ of S_n maps to the automorphism of P^n given by the formula (2.3.6).
(4) the involution $\iota_{n,i}$ maps to the automorphism of P^n obtained by the involution ι on the i-th factor.

A set of pairs $J = \{(i_1, \alpha_1), \ldots, (i_k, \alpha_k)\}$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots i_k \leq n$ and $\alpha_j = 0, \infty$ corresponds to a map $f_J : \underline{n-k} \to \underline{n}$ in (Cub). Then the image H_J of the map $f_{J,P^\bullet} : P^{n-k} \to P^n$ coincides with $H_{i_1,\alpha_i} \cap \cdots \cap H_{i_k,\alpha_k}$, where $H_{i,\alpha}$ is defined by $\{z_i = \alpha\}$. The subvariety of the above form H_J is called a *cubical face* of P^n.

By a sheaf on $X_\bullet$, we mean a collection of sheaves $\mathcal{F}_n$ on X_n, and f-maps of sheaves $f^* : \mathcal{F}_n \to \mathcal{F}_m$ subject to functoriality. (Recall for a map $f : X \to Y$ and sheaves $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ on X, Y, respectively, an f-map of sheaves $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{F}$ means a map of sheaves $f^*\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{F}$.) This notion of a sheaf on a cubical scheme is essentially the same as that of a sheaf on a simplicial scheme, see e.g., [6]. A complex of sheaves on $X_\bullet$ is defined by a collection of complexes of sheaves $\mathcal{F}_n^\bullet$ on P^n, and a collection of f-maps $f^* : \mathcal{F}_n \to \mathcal{F}_m$ of complexes of sheaves satisfying the similar functorial property. A collection of sheaves $\mathcal{A}_n^\bullet$ of C^∞ differential forms on P^n is a typical example of complex of sheaves on the cubical scheme $P^\bullet$.

We set

$$\mathbf{H}^n = \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n \\ \alpha = 0, \infty}} H_{i,\alpha}, \quad \mathbf{D}^n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \{z_i = 1\}, \quad \square^n = P^n - \mathbf{D}^n. \tag{2.3.7}$$

Definition 2.7 (Good triangulation of P^n)**.** *Let K be a finite semi-algebraic triangulation of P^n such that $H_{i,\alpha}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n, \alpha = 0, \infty)$ and $\mathbf{D}^n$ are subcomplexes of K. We define a family $\mathcal{L}$ of semi-algebraic subsets of P^n as*

$$\mathcal{L} = \{H_{J_1} \cup \cdots \cup H_{J_k}\}_{(J_1, \ldots, J_k)},$$

where H_{J_j} are cubical faces of P^n. The triangulation K is called a good triangulation of P^n if it is a good triangulation with respect to the above family $\mathcal{L}$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf on P^n and K a good triangulation of P^n. We set

$$C_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}) = C_\bullet(K, K \cap \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}),$$

Definition 2.8. *For an element $\gamma = \sum_{\sigma \in K_p} \sigma \cdot a_\sigma$ in $C_p(K; \mathcal{F})$, we define the support $|\gamma|$ of γ as the subspace of $|K|$ defined by*

$$|\gamma| = \bigcup_{\substack{\sigma \in K_p \\ a_\sigma \neq 0}} |\sigma|. \tag{2.3.8}$$

Under Notation 2.3, $|\gamma|$ is regarded as a subcomplex of K for an element $\gamma = \sum_{\sigma \in K_p} \sigma \cdot a_\sigma$ in $C_p(K; \mathcal{F})$

Definition 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf on P^n and p be an integer.*

1. *Let γ be an element in $C_p(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})$. The support $|\gamma|$ of γ is defined by the support (see (2.3.8)) of the standard representative of γ in $C_p(K; \mathcal{F})$.*
2. *(Admissibility) A semi-algebraic subset S of P is said to be admissible if for each cubical face H, the inequality*

$$\dim(S \cap (H - \mathbf{D}^n)) \leq \dim S - 2 \operatorname{codim} H$$

holds. Let γ be an element of $C_p(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})$, Then γ is said to be admissible if the support $|\gamma|$ is admissible.

(3) *We set*

$$AC_p(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}) = \{\gamma \in C_p(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})) \mid \gamma \text{ and } \delta\gamma \text{ are admissible } \}$$

Since the complex $AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})$ is functorial on a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$, for a complex of sheaves $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ on K, $AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ becomes a double complex. The associated simplex complex of $AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ is denoted by $s(AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}^\bullet))$.

2.4. **Subdivision and inductive limit.** If K' is a subdivision of K, then by [14] Theorem 17.4, there is a unique augmentation preserving homomorphism of complexes $\lambda_* : C_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n) \to C_\bullet(K', \mathbf{D}^n)$ satisfying $\lambda(\sigma) \in K' \cap |\sigma|$ for $\sigma \in K$. The above homomorphism λ_* yields a homomorphism of complexes $\lambda_*^{\mathcal{F}} : C_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n, \mathcal{F}) \to C_\bullet(K', \mathbf{D}^n, \mathcal{F})$ defined by

$$\lambda_*^{\mathcal{F}}(\sigma \cdot a_\sigma) = \sum_{\sigma' \in K'} \epsilon_{\sigma,\sigma'} \sigma' \cdot (a_\sigma|_{\sigma'}),$$

called the subdivision map. Here $\epsilon_{\sigma,\sigma'} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by the equality $\lambda_*(\sigma) = \sum_{\sigma' \in K'} \epsilon_{\sigma,\sigma'} \sigma'$. The map $\lambda_*^{\mathcal{F}}$ is also denoted by λ_* for short.

Let K and K' be good triangulations (Definition 2.7) of P^n. By Theorem 2.1 there exists a common subdivision K'' of K and K'. By Remark 2.6, $\mathrm{sd}\, K$ is a good triangulation of P^n. Therefore the set of good triangulations is a directed set with respect to subdivisions. Since the map λ_* and the differential δ commute, the complexes $C_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})$ and $AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})$ form inductive systems indexed by good triangulations K of P^n.

Definition 2.10. (1) *We set*

$$C_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_K C_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}), \quad AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_K AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}).$$

Here the limit is taken on the directed set of good triangulations. We drop the index n of $\mathbf{D}^n$ in the left hand side for simplicity.

(2) *Let $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ be a complex of sheaves on P^n. The the associated simple complexes $s(C_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}^\bullet))$ and $s(AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}^\bullet))$ form inductive systems for good triangulations of K. Their inductive limits are written as $s(C_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}^\bullet))$ and $s(AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}^\bullet))$, respectively.*

2.5. **Moving lemma for $AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F})$.** We prove the following moving lemma for $AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F})$.

Proposition 2.11 (Moving lemma)**.** *The inclusion of complexes*

$$\iota : \ AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}) \to C_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}) \tag{2.5.9}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

This Proposition will be used in the proof of Proposition 5.1. Before proving the above proposition, we recall the following three theorems.

Theorem 2.12. *(*[16] *Ch.6, Theorem 15) Let M be a compact PL-manifold and let K be a PL-triangulation of M. Let X, X_0 and Y be subpolyhedra of M such that $X_0 \subset X$. Then there exists an ambient PL isotopy $h : M \times [0,1] \to M$ which fixes X_0, and such that $h_1(|X| - X_0)$ is in general position with respect to Y i.e. the inequality*

$$\dim(h_1(|X| - X_0) \cap Y) \leq \dim(|X| - X_0) + \dim Y - \dim M$$

holds. Here $h_t(m) = h(m,t)$ *for* $m \in M$ *and* $t \in [0,1]$.

The isotopy h can be made arbitrarily small in the following sense. Given a positive number $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a PL isotopy h as above such that for any point $(x,t) \in M \times [0,1]$, the inequality $|h_0(x) - h_t(x)| < \epsilon$ holds. Here $|\cdot|$ is the norm of the Euclidean space in which M is contained. We need the following variant of this theorem.

Theorem 2.13. *Let* M *be a compact* PL*-manifold and let* K *be a triangulation of* M*. Let* X_0, X *and* $Y_1, \cdots, Y_n$ *be polyhedra. Then there exists an ambient* PL *isotopy* $h : M \times [0,1] \to M$ *which fixes* X_0*, and such that* $h_1(|X| - X_0)$ *are in general position with respect to* Y_i *for* $1 \leq i \leq n$*.*

Theorem 2.14 (Lemma 1.10, [11])**.** *Let* $f : |K| \to |L|$ *be a* PL *map of the realizations of simplicial complexes* K *and* L*. Then there exist subdivisions* K' *and* L' *of* K *and* L *respectively, such that* f *is induced from the simplicial map* $K' \to L'$*.*

Proof of Proposition 2.11. (1) We prove the surjectivity of the map ι on homology groups. Let γ be a closed element in $C_p(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})$ for a good triangulation K. Let $\gamma = \sum_\sigma \sigma \cdot a_\sigma$ be the standard representative of γ in $C_p(K; \mathcal{F})$. We choose an open neighborhood U_σ of $|\sigma|$ for each σ on which the section a_σ is defined, and the following conditions are satisfied.

$$\begin{aligned} &(1)\ \rho \prec \sigma \Rightarrow U_\rho \subset U_\sigma \\ &(2)\ \sum_{\rho \prec \sigma} [\sigma : \rho](a_\sigma|_{U_\rho}) = 0 \quad \text{on } U_\rho \text{ if } |\rho| \not\subset \mathbf{D}^n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5.10}$$

By applying Theorem 2.13, we choose a PL isotopy $h : P^n \times [0,1] \to P^n$ such that

(1) h fixes $\mathbf{D}^n$, and
(2) $h_1(|\gamma| - \mathbf{D}^n)$ intersect with the set of cubical faces transversally.

We may also assume that the isotopy h is small enough so that the set $h(|\sigma| \times [0,1])$ is contained in U_σ if $a_\sigma \neq 0$.

By Theorem 2.14 there exists a triangulation $\mathcal{K}$ of $P^n \times [0,1]$ and a subdivision K' of K such that

(1) h is a simplicial map, and
(2) $|\sigma| \times [0,1]$, $|\sigma| \times \{0\}$ and $|\sigma| \times \{1\}$ are subcomplexes of $\mathcal{K}$ for $\sigma \in K$.

Let λ_* be the maps $C_p(K) \to C_p(K')$ and $C_p(K; \mathcal{F}) \to C_p(K'; \mathcal{F})$ induced by the subdivision. Then we have $\lambda_* \gamma = \sum_\sigma \lambda_*(\sigma) \cdot a_\sigma$. We have a map of complexes with integer coefficients

$$h_* : C_\bullet(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{D}^n \times [0,1]) \to C_\bullet(K', \mathbf{D}^n)$$

For a simplex $\sigma \in K$, the product $\sigma \times [0,1]$, $\sigma \times \{0\}$ and $\sigma \times \{1\}$ are regarded as an element in $C_\bullet(\mathcal{K})$ which is also denoted by $\sigma \times [0,1]$, $\sigma \times \{0\}$ and $\sigma \times \{1\}$. For an element $\sigma \in K_p$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} h_\sigma &= h_*(\sigma \times [0,1]) \in C_{p+1}(K', \mathbf{D}^n), \\ h_i(\sigma) &= h_*(\sigma \times \{i\}) \in C_p(K', \mathbf{D}^n) \quad (i = 0, 1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5.11}$$

Then we have $h_0(\sigma) = \lambda_* \sigma$. For an integral chain $\alpha = \sum_\sigma \sigma \cdot b_\sigma \in C_p(K)$, we set $h_\alpha = \sum_\sigma h_\sigma b_\sigma$.

Since $|h_\sigma| \subset U_\sigma$ for any $\sigma \in K_p$, $\theta = \sum_\sigma h_\sigma a_\sigma$ is a well-defined element of $C_{p+1}(K', \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\theta &= \sum_\sigma \delta h_\sigma \cdot a_\sigma \\
&= \sum_\sigma (h_1(\sigma) - h_0(\sigma) - h_{\delta\sigma}) \cdot a_\sigma \\
&= -\sum_\sigma h_{\delta\sigma} \cdot a_\sigma + \sum_\sigma h_1(\sigma) \cdot a_\sigma - \sum_\sigma \lambda_*(\sigma) \cdot a_\sigma \\
&= -\sum_\rho h_\rho \Big(\sum_{\rho \prec \sigma} [\sigma : \rho](a_\sigma|_{|\lambda_*(\rho)|}) \Big) + \sum_\sigma h_1(\sigma) \cdot a_\sigma - \sum_\sigma \lambda_*(\sigma) \cdot a_\sigma
\end{aligned}$$

in $C_p(K', \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})$. By (2.5.10), if $|\rho| \not\subset \mathbf{D}^n$ then $\sum_{\rho \prec \sigma'} [\sigma : \rho] a_\sigma|_{|\lambda_*(\rho)|} = 0$. Since $\mathbf{D}^n$ is fixed by the isotopy h, the chain $h_\rho = 0$ if $|\rho| \subset \mathbf{D}^n$. Thus we have the equality

$$\delta\theta = \sum_\sigma h_1(\sigma) \cdot a_\sigma - \lambda_*(\gamma).$$

Since $|h_1(\sigma)| \subset h_1(|\gamma|)$ by the construction of h, $\sum_\sigma h_1(\sigma) \cdot a_\sigma$ is an element of $AC_p(K', \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})$.

(2) We prove the injectivity of the map ι on homology. Let γ be an element in $AC_p(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F})$ and suppose that γ is the boundary of an element ξ in $C_{p+1}(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F})$. The representatives of γ and ξ in $AC_p(K; \mathcal{F})$ and $C_{p+1}(K; \mathcal{F})$ are also denoted by γ and ξ. By setting

$$\gamma = \sum_\sigma \sigma \cdot a_\sigma, \quad \xi = \sum_\tau \tau \cdot \xi_\tau$$

the differential of ξ is written as

$$\delta\xi = \sum_\sigma \sigma \Big(\sum_{\sigma \prec \tau} [\tau : \sigma](\xi_\tau|_{|\sigma|}) \Big).$$

We choose an open neighborhood U_τ of $|\tau|$ for each τ so that the section ξ_τ is defined on U_τ, and the following holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1)\ \rho \prec \sigma \Rightarrow U_\rho \subset U_\sigma \\
&(2)\ \sum_{\sigma \prec \tau} [\tau : \sigma] \xi_\tau = 0 \quad \text{on } U_\sigma \text{ if } |\sigma| \not\subset |\mathbf{d}\xi| \cup \mathbf{D}^n \\
&(3)\ a_\sigma = \sum_{\sigma \prec \tau} [\tau : \sigma] \xi_\tau \quad \text{on } U_\sigma \text{ if } |\sigma| \not\subset \mathbf{D}^n.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5.12}$$

By applying Theorem 2.13, we choose a PL isotopy $h : P^n \times [0,1] \to P^n$ of P^n such

(1) h fixes $\mathbf{D}^n \cup |\gamma|$, and
(2) that $h_1(|\xi| - (\mathbf{D}^n \cup |\gamma|))$ intersects cubical faces transversally.

We may also assume that the PL isotopy h is small enough so that $h(|\tau| \times [0,1])$ is contained in an open neighborhood U_τ if $\xi_\tau \neq 0$.

By Theorem 2.14 there exists a triangulation $\mathcal{K}$ of $P^n \times [0,1]$ and a subdivision K' of K such that

(1) h is a simplicial map, and
(2) $|\tau| \times [0,1]$, $|\tau| \times \{0\}$ and $|\tau| \times \{1\}$ are subcomplexes of $\mathcal{K}$ for $\sigma \in K$.

We use the same notation as (2.5.11). Since the map h_1 is a homeomorphism of P^n, the differential of $\widetilde{\xi} = \sum_\tau h_1(\tau)\cdot\xi_\tau \in C_{p+1}(K', \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})$ is equal to

$$\delta\widetilde{\xi} = \sum_\sigma h_1(\sigma)\Big(\sum_{\sigma\prec\tau}[\tau:\sigma]\xi_\tau\Big)$$

in $C_p(K', \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})$. By (2.5.12), if $|\sigma| \not\subset |\mathbf{d}\xi| \cup \mathbf{D}^n$, then $\sum_{\sigma\prec\tau}[\tau:\sigma]\xi_\tau = 0$, and if $|\sigma| \subset |\mathbf{d}\xi| \cup \mathbf{D}^n$, then σ is fixed by h and $h_1(\sigma) = \sigma$. As a consequence, we have

$$\delta\widetilde{\xi} = \sum_\sigma \sigma\Big(\sum_{\sigma\prec\tau}[\tau:\sigma]\xi_\tau\Big) = \delta\xi.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$|\widetilde{\xi}| \subset \cup_{\xi_\tau\neq 0} h_1(|\tau|) \subset h_1(|\xi|)$$

and the transversal property of h_1, we see that $\widetilde{\xi} \in AC_{p+1}(K', \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})$.

□

Corollary 2.15. *Let $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ be a complex of sheaves on P^n. Then the natural inclusion*

$$s(AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}^\bullet)) \to s(C_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}^\bullet))$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. We consider the following commutative diagram of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \cdots \to & AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}^q) & \xrightarrow{d} & AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}^{q+1}) & \to \cdots \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ \cdots \to & C_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}^q) & \xrightarrow{d} & C_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}^{q+1}) & \to \cdots \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows are quasi-isomorphism by Proposition 2.11. Therefore the vertical arrows gives a quasi-isomorphism of the associated simple complex of the first row and that of the second row. □

3. Face map and cubical differential

In this section, we define the face map and the cubical differential for admissible chains with coefficients in a sheaf $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ on the cubical scheme $P^\bullet$.

Let K be a good triangulation of P^n and $H_1 = H_{1,0} = \{z_1 = 0\}$ be a divisor of $P^n = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ defined in §2.3. We set $L_1 = K \cap H_1$. Using the natural identification of H_1 with P^{n-1}, L_1 can be regarded as a triangulation of P^{n-1}. We choose a Thom cocycle T and a good ordering $\mathcal{O}$ (see Definition 3.1 and Definition 3.3 for the definition of a Thom cocycle and a good ordering). For a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on P^n, we define a homomorphism

$$T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} : C_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}) \to C_{\bullet-2}(L_1, \mathbf{D}^{n-1}; \mathcal{F})$$

using simplicial cap product in §3.2. By Proposition 3.6, the cap product induces a homomorphism

$$T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} : \ AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}) \to AC_{\bullet-2}(L_1, \mathbf{D}^{n-1}; \mathcal{F})$$

by restricting to the subcomplex $AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})$. We show that this map is independent of the choice of a good ordering and a Thom cocycle.

Let $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ be a sheaf on $P^\bullet$. Using the compatibility of the face map and subdivision maps, we get a homomorphism

$$\partial_1 : \ AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}_n) \to AC_{\bullet-2}(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}_{n-1})$$

by passing to the inductive limit with respect to subdivisions of triangulations. We can similarly define maps $\partial_{i,\alpha}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, \alpha = 0, \infty$. Since $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ forms a sheaf on $P^\bullet$, the total face map is defined by the formula (3.3.9). In §3.3, we prove that the total face map ∂ is a differential.

3.1. **Thom cocycle.**

3.1.1. *Definition of Thom cocycles.* Let K be a good triangulation of $P^n = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$.

Let Δ be the subset $\{|z_1| < 1\}$ of P^n. Let K be a good triangulation of P^n. We set $L_1 = K \cap H_1$ and

$$N = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K, \, |\sigma| \cap H_1 \neq \emptyset} |\sigma|, \quad W = \overline{P^n - N}.$$

Let $H^i_{\mathrm{sing}}(X)$ (resp. $H^i_{\mathrm{sing}}(X, Y)$) denote the singular cohomology (resp. the relative singular cohomology) of X (resp. (X, Y)) with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients. Under the comparison isomorphism $H^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(\Delta - H_1; \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^1_{dR}(\Delta - H_1)$, the de Rham class $\big[\dfrac{dz_1}{2\pi i z_1}\big]$ of $\dfrac{dz_1}{2\pi i z_1}$ is contained in the subgroup $H^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(\Delta - H_1)$ of $H^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(\Delta - H_1; \mathbb{C})$. Since L_1 is a full subcomplex of K, W is a deformation rretract of $P^n - H_1$. Then we have isomorphisms

$$H^2(K, W) \xleftarrow{\simeq} H^2_{\mathrm{sing}}(P^n, P^n - H_1) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^2_{\mathrm{sing}}(\Delta, \Delta - H_1). \tag{3.1.1}$$

Definition 3.1. *A simplicial cocycle T in $C^2(K, W)$ is a Thom cocycle if its cohomology class is equal to $\delta\big[\dfrac{dz_1}{2\pi i z_1}\big]$ in $H^2_{\mathrm{sing}}(\Delta, \Delta - H_1)$ via the isomorphism (3.1.1). Here δ denotes the connecting homomorphism*

$$\delta : H^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(\Delta - H_1) \to H^2_{\mathrm{sing}}(\Delta, \Delta - H_1).$$

A $\mathbb{C}$-valued Thom cocycle in $C^2(K, W, \mathbb{C})$ is defined similarly.

3.1.2. *Singular Thom cocycle $T^B_{H_1}$.* We will give some examples of Thom cocyles. Suppose that Δ is a subcomplex of K and $\Delta \cap W \subset \Delta - \{0\}$ is a deformation retract. For a 1-simplex $\sigma \in C^1(\Delta)$, we set

$$L^B(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma \not\subset W \\ \left[\dfrac{1}{2\pi}\left(\mathrm{Im}\displaystyle\int_\sigma \frac{dz}{z} + \arg(\gamma(0))\right)\right] & \text{if } \sigma \subset W. \end{cases}$$

Here $[r]$ denotes the Gauss symbol of a real number r and $\arg(z)$ is the argument of a complex number z in $[0, 2\pi)$. Note that the cochain L^B counts the intersection number (with sign) of σ and the positive part of real axis. Then $T^B = dL^B \in C^2(\Delta, \Delta \cap W)$ becomes a Thom cocycle. In the following, we do not use this fact and omit the proof of this fact. We remark that the cocycle T^B counts the winding number of the boundary of relative 2-cycle.

3.1.3. *Thom form.* Let ϵ be a positive real number and Let ρ be a $[0,1]$-valued C^∞-function on Δ such that

(1) $\rho = 0$ on $\Delta \cap \{|z_1| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\}$.
(2) $\rho = 1$ on $\Delta \cap \{\epsilon < |z_1|\}$.

Then $c_\rho = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\rho\frac{dz_1}{z_1}$ defines an element of $C^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(P^n;\mathbb{C})$. We set $T_\rho = dc_\rho = \frac{1}{2\pi i}d\rho\wedge\frac{dz}{z}$. For ϵ sufficiently small we have $c_\rho = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{dz_1}{z_1}$ on W, and T_ρ defines the same class as $\delta[\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i z_1}]$ in $H^2_{\mathrm{sing}}(P^n, W;\mathbb{C})$, so it is an integral class.

Definition 3.2. *The cocycle $T_\rho \in C^2(K,W;\mathbb{C})$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-valued Thom cocycle. We call the above cocycle T_ρ a Thom form.*

3.2. The cap product with a Thom cocycle.

3.2.1. *Simplicial cap product.*

Definition 3.3 (Ordering of complex, good ordering)**.** (1) *A partial ordering on the set of vertices in K is called an ordering of K, if the restriction of the ordering to each simplex is a total ordering.*
(2) *Let H_J be a cubical face of P^n. An ordering of K is said to be good with respect to H_J, if $v \in H_J$ and $w \geq v$, then $w \in H_J$.*

We denote by $[a_0,\cdots,a_k]$ *the oriented simplex* spanned by $a_0,\cdots,a_k$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a good ordering of K with respect to H_1. We recall that the definition of the cap product for simplicial chain complexes $\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} : C^p(K)\otimes C_k(K) \to C_{k-p}(K), u\otimes\alpha \mapsto u\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\alpha$ is given by

$$u\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}[v_0,\ldots,v_k] = u([v_0,\ldots,v_p])[v_p,\ldots,v_k],$$

where $v_0 < \cdots < v_k$. One has the boundary formula

$$\delta(u\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\alpha) = (-1)^p(u\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}(\delta\alpha) - (du)\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\alpha)$$

where du denotes the coboundary of u, see [10], p.239 (note the difference in sign convention from [14]). Thus if u is a cocycle, $\delta(u\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\alpha) = (-1)^p u\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}(\delta\alpha)$.

Definition 3.4 (Simplicial cap product)**.** *Let $T \in C^p(K)$ be a cochain, $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf on $|K|$ and $\mathcal{O}$ be an ordering of K. We define the simplicial cap product*

$$T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} : C_k(K;\mathcal{F}) \to C_{k-p}(K;\mathcal{F}) \tag{3.2.2}$$

by

$$T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}([v_0,\ldots,v_k]\cdot\omega) = (T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}[v_0,\ldots,v_p])\cdot\omega\,|_{[v_p,\ldots,v_k]} \in C_{k-p}(K;\mathcal{F})$$

where $T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}[v_0,\ldots,v_k]$ is the cap product just mentioned.

Proposition 3.5. *Let T be a Thom cocycle and $\mathcal{O}$ a good ordering of K with respect to H_1.*

(1) *The map $T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}$ in (3.2.2) and the differential δ commute.*

(2) *The image of the homomorphism* $T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}$ *is contained in* $C_{k-2}(L_1;\mathcal{F})$, *where* $L_1 = K \cap H_1$. *As a consequence, we have a homomorphism of complexes*

$$T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}:\ C_k(K,\mathbf{D}^n;\mathcal{F}) \to C_{k-2}(L_1,\mathbf{D}^{n-1};\mathcal{F}). \tag{3.2.3}$$

Proof. (1). Since T is a cocycle of even degree, we have $\delta(T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\sigma) = T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}(\delta\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in C_k(K)$, and hence follows that the map $T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}$ in (3.2.2) commutes with δ, namely $\delta(T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}(\sigma\cdot\omega)) = T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\delta(\sigma\cdot\omega)$.
(2). If $v_2 \notin H_1$, then $|[v_0,v_1,v_2]|\cap H_1 = \emptyset$ and $T([v_0,v_1,v_2]) = 0$ on such a simplex since the cochain T vanishes on W. If $v_2 \in H_1$, then $|[v_2,\dots,v_k]| \subset H_1$ since H_1 is a full subcomplex of K. Thus the assertion holds. □

3.2.2. *Independence of T and ordering.* In this section, we prove that the restriction of the homomorphism $T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}$ to the subcomplex $AC(K,\mathbf{D}^n;\mathcal{F})$ is independent of the choice of a Thom cocycle T and a good simplicial ordering $\mathcal{O}$ with respect to H_1. Moreover we prove the compatibility of the map $T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}$ with the subdivision homomorphisms.

Proposition 3.6. *Let γ be an element of $AC_k(K,\mathbf{D}^n;\mathcal{F})$. Then we have the following.*

(1) *The chain $T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\gamma$ is an element in $AC_{k-2}(L_1,\mathbf{D}^{n-1};\mathcal{F})$.*
(2) *The chain $T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\gamma$ is independent of the choice of a Thom cocycle T and a good ordering $\mathcal{O}$. Thus the map*

$$T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}: AC_k(K,\mathbf{D}^n;\mathcal{F}) \to AC_{k-2}(L_1,\mathbf{D}^{n-1};\mathcal{F}).$$

induced by (3.2.3) is denoted as $T\cap$.
(3) *Let K' be a good subdivision of K. We set*

$$W' = \bigcup_{\substack{\sigma\in K'\\ |\sigma'|\cap H_1=\emptyset}} |\sigma'|.$$

Let $T' \in C^2(K',W')$ be a Thom cocycle, and $\mathcal{O}'$ a good ordering of K' with respect to H_1. We set $L_1' = K'\cap H_1$. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} AC_k(K,\mathbf{D}^n;\mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{T\cap} & AC_{k-2}(L_1,\mathbf{D}^{n-1};\mathcal{F}) \\ \lambda_*\downarrow & & \downarrow\lambda_* \\ AC_k(K',\mathbf{D}^n;\mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{T'\cap} & AC_{k-2}(L_1',\mathbf{D}^{n-1};\mathcal{F}), \end{array} \tag{3.2.4}$$

where the vertical maps λ_* are subdivision maps.

Proof. (1). For an element $z \in C_\bullet(K,\mathbf{D}^n;\mathcal{F})$, we have $|T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}z| \subset |z|\cap H_1$, since H_1 is a full subcomplex of K. The morphism $T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}$ commutes with the topological differential δ, and we have the equality $\delta(T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\gamma) = T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}(\delta\gamma)$. Since $|\gamma|$ and $|\delta\gamma|$ are admissible, the sets $|T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\gamma|$ and $|\delta(T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\gamma)|$ are admissible in H_1.

(2) Let γ be an element in $AC_k(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})$. By the admissibility condition for $\delta\gamma$, we have $|\delta\gamma| \cap L_1 \subset (|\gamma| \cap L_1)^{(k-3)}$, where $(|\gamma| \cap L_1)^{(k-3)}$ is the $(k-3)$-skeleton of $|\gamma| \cap L_1$. Thus we have a homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.2.5) \qquad T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} : H_k(|\gamma|, |\delta\gamma|; \mathcal{F}) &\to H_{k-2}(|\gamma| \cap L_1, |\delta\gamma| \cap L_1; \mathcal{F}) \\
&\to H_{k-2}(|\gamma| \cap L_1, (|\gamma| \cap L_1)^{(k-3)}; \mathcal{F}) \\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{\tau \in |\gamma| \cap L_1 \\ \dim \tau = k-2}} \mathcal{F}(\tau).
\end{aligned}
$$

The chain $T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} \gamma$ is equal to the image of $[\gamma]$ under the homomorphism (3.2.5). The map (3.2.5) is independent of the choice of Thom cocycle and the ordering $\mathcal{O}$ by Proposition A.2 in Appendix.

(3) Let γ be an element in $AC_k(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})$. The element in the homology $H_k(|\gamma|, |\delta\gamma|; \mathcal{F})$. defined by γ is denoted by $[\gamma]$. We set $|\gamma|' = K' \cap |\gamma|$ and $|\delta\gamma|' = K' \cap |\delta\gamma|$. Since $|\lambda_*\gamma| \subset |\gamma|'$ and $|\delta\lambda_*\gamma| \subset |\delta\gamma|'$, the element $\lambda_*\gamma$ defines an element $[\lambda_*\gamma]$ in $H_k(|\gamma|', |\delta\gamma|'; \mathcal{F})$. The homology class $[\lambda_*\gamma]$ is equal to the image of $[\gamma]$ under the subdivision map λ_*.

Since $|\delta\gamma| \cap H_1 \subset (|\gamma| \cap L_1)^{(k-3)}$ and $|\delta\gamma|' \cap H_1 \subset (|\gamma|' \cap L_1')^{(k-3)}$ by the admissibility condition, we have the following diagram

$$
(3.2.6) \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc}
H_k(|\gamma|, |\delta\gamma|; \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H_{k-2}(|\gamma| \cap L_1, (|\gamma| \cap L_1)^{(k-3)}; \mathcal{F}) & \simeq \oplus_{\tau \in |\gamma|' \cap L_1} \mathcal{F}(\tau) \\
\lambda_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_* & \\
H_k(|\gamma|', |\delta\gamma|'; \mathcal{F}) & \underset{\beta}{\to} & H_{k-2}(|\gamma|' \cap L_1', (|\gamma|' \cap L_1')^{(k-3)}; \mathcal{F}) & \simeq \oplus_{\tau' \in |\gamma|' \cap L_1'} \mathcal{F}(\tau').
\end{array}
$$

Here the vertical map is given by the subdivision map and α and β are obtained by the cap products with Thom cocycles T and T' for the simplicial complexes K and K', respectively.

We have $\lambda_*(T \cap \gamma) = \lambda_*\alpha[\gamma]$ and $T' \cap (\lambda_*\gamma) = \beta\lambda_*[\gamma]$. Since the homomorphisms α and β are independent of the choice of Thom cocycles, one may assume $T = \lambda^* T'$ and by Proposition A.4 in Appendix, the diagram (3.2.6) commutes. Therefore $\lambda_*(T \cap \gamma)$ and $T' \cap (\lambda_*\gamma)$ coincide. □

By taking the inductive limit of the homomorphism

$$T\cap : AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}) \to AC_{\bullet-2}(L_1, \mathbf{D}^{n-1}; \mathcal{F}).$$

for subdivisions, we get a homomorphism

$$(3.2.7) \qquad T\cap : AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}) \to AC_{\bullet-2}(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}).$$

Let $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ be a complex of sheaves on P^n. Since the above homomorphism (3.2.7) of complex is functorial on a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$, $T\cap$ induces a homomorphism of double complexes

$$T\cap : AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \to AC_{\bullet-2}(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}^\bullet).$$

and by taking the associated simple complex, we have a homomorphism of complexes:

$$(3.2.8) \qquad T\cap : s(AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}^\bullet)) \to s(AC_{\bullet-2}(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}^\bullet)).$$

3.3. **Cubical differentials.**

3.3.1. *Face map and cubical differential.* In this section, we define total face map for a sheaf $\mathcal{F}_\bullet = \{\mathcal{F}_n\}_n$ on $P^\bullet$ and prove that the total face map becomes a differential.

Definition 3.7 (Face map)**.** *By composing the homomorphism (3.2.7) and the natural map induced by the sheaf homomorphism $f^*_{n,i,\alpha}\mathcal{F}_n \to \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$, we have a homomorphism*

$$\partial_{H_{1,0}} : AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}_n) \to AC_{\bullet-2}(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}_{n-1}).$$

which is called a face map with respect to the face $H_{1,0}$. Similarly we define the face map $\partial_{H_{i,\alpha}}$ for a codimension one face

$$\partial_{H_{n,i,\alpha}} : AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}_n) \to AC_{\bullet-2}(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \quad (0 \leq u \leq n, \alpha = 0, \infty).$$

We set $\partial_{i,\alpha} = \partial_{H_{i,\alpha}}$ and define the total face map ∂ by

$$\partial = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1}(\partial_{i,0} - \partial_{i,\infty}) : AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}_n) \to AC_{\bullet-2}(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}_{n-1}). \tag{3.3.9}$$

Let $\{\mathcal{F}^\bullet_n\}_n$ be a complex of sheaves on $P^\bullet$. Using the homomorphism defined in (3.2.8), we have the similar total face map for associated simple complexes

$$\partial : s(AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}^\bullet_n)) \to s(AC_{\bullet-2}(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}^\bullet_{n-1})). \tag{3.3.10}$$

Proposition 3.8. (1) *The composite*

$$\partial^2 : AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}_n) \to AC_{\bullet-4}(P^{n-2}, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}_{n-2})$$

is the zero map. As a consequence, we have the following double complex:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} AC_{\bullet+2n}(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}_n) \xrightarrow{\partial} AC_{\bullet+2(n-1)}(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} \overset{\text{degree } 0}{AC_\bullet(P^0, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{F}_0)} \to 0.$$

Proof. Let γ be an element of $AC_p(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F})$. We set $H_1 = H_{1,0}$, $H_2 = H_{2,0}$, $H_{12} = H_1 \cap H_2$, $L_1 = K \cap H_1$, $L_2 = K \cap H_2$ and $L_{12} = K \cap H_{12}$. The face map $\partial_{i,0}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ is denoted by ∂_i. Considering the symmetry on $H_{i,\alpha}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n, \alpha = 0, \infty)$, it is enough to prove the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} AC_p(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{F}_n) & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & AC_{p-2}(L_1, \mathbf{D}^{n-1}; \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \\ \partial_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \partial_2 \\ AC_{p-2}(L_2, \mathbf{D}^{n-1}; \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & AC_{p-4}(L_{12}, \mathbf{D}^{n-2}; \mathcal{F}_{n-2}). \end{array} \tag{3.3.11}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf on K. The element in $H_p(|\gamma|, |\delta\gamma|; \mathcal{F})$ defined by γ is denoted by $[\gamma]$. By the admissibility condition, $|\delta\gamma| \cap L_{12}$ is contained in the $(p-5)$-skeleton $(|\delta\gamma| \cap L_{12})^{(p-5)}$ of $|\delta\gamma| \cap L_{12}$. Thus we have a diagram

(3.3.12)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_p(|\gamma|, |\delta\gamma|; \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{[T_1]\cap} & H_{p-2}(|\gamma| \cap L_1, |\delta\gamma| \cap L_1; \mathcal{F}) \\ [T_1]\cap \downarrow & & \downarrow [T_2]\cap \\ H_{p-2}(|\gamma| \cap L_2, |\delta\gamma| \cap L_2; \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{[T_1]\cap} & H_{p-4}(|\gamma| \cap L_{12}, |\delta\gamma| \cap L_{12}; \mathcal{F}) \\ & & \downarrow \alpha \\ & & H_{p-4}(|\gamma| \cap L_{12}, (|\gamma| \cap L_{12})^{(p-5)}; \mathcal{F}) \\ & & \| \\ & & \bigoplus_{\substack{\xi \in |\gamma| \cap L_{12} \\ \dim \xi = p-4}} \mathcal{F}(\xi). \end{array}$$

Therefore the equality $\partial_2\partial_1(\gamma) = \partial_1\partial_2(\gamma)$ follows from the commutativity of the diagram (3.3.12) and the functoriality for the homomorphisms of sheaves $\mathcal{F}_n \to \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{n-1} \to \mathcal{F}_{n-2}$. By the graded commutativity of supported cup products ([15]), we have $[T_1] \cup [T_2] = [T_2] \cup [T_1]$. Therefore the commutativity of the diagram (3.3.12) follows from Proposition A.7 in Appendix. □

Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n^\bullet\}_n$ be a complex of sheaves on $P^\bullet$. We set

$$AC_i(\square^n, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = s(AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}_n^\bullet))_i. \tag{3.3.13}$$

By Proposition 3.8, we have the following double complex:

$$\cdots \to AC_{\bullet+4}(\square^2; \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\partial} AC_{\bullet+2}(\square^1; \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\partial} \overset{\text{degree } 0}{AC_\bullet}(\square^0; \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \to 0. \tag{3.3.14}$$

Here the homomorphism ∂ of complexes is the total face map defined in (3.3.10).

Definition 3.9. *We define the total differential D of the double complex (3.3.14) by*

$$D(\alpha) = \partial\alpha + (-1)^n \mathbf{d}\alpha \tag{3.3.15}$$

for $\alpha \in AC_i(\square^n, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$. The associated simple complex with the differential D is denoted by $AC^\bullet(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$. The degree k-part is given by

$$AC^k(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) = \oplus_{n-i=k} AC_i(\square^n, \mathcal{F}^\bullet).$$

4. The Generalized Cauchy formula

In the following $\{\mathcal{A}_n^\bullet\}_n$ is the complex of sheaves of smooth differential forms on the cubical scheme $\{P^n\}_n$. Let K be a good triangulation of P^n. We keep the notations $\mathbf{H}^n, \mathbf{D}^n$, etc. in (2.3.7) and set $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}^n, \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}^n$. The complex $AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{A}_n^\bullet)$ and $AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{A}_n^\bullet)$ are denoted by $AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^\bullet)$ and $AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^\bullet)$. In this section we prove the generalized Cauchy formula about integral of certain logarithmic differential forms on elements of $AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D}^n; \mathcal{A}_n^\bullet)$.

4.1. **Statement of the generalized Cauchy formula.**

We define a rational differential form ω_n on P^n by

$$\omega_n = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dz_n}{z_n}.$$

As a corollary of Theorem 3.12 [8], we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let σ be an admissible p-simplex. For a smooth $(p-n)$-form a_σ on a neighborhood of $|\sigma|$, the integral*

$$\int_\sigma a_\sigma \wedge \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dz_n}{z_n} \tag{4.1.1}$$

converges absolutely.

Using Theorem 4.1, the following is well defined.

Definition 4.2. *Let γ be an element in $AC_{n+p}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$, and $\sum_\sigma \sigma \cdot a_\sigma$ be a representative of γ in $C_{n+p}(K; \mathcal{A}^p)$. We define $I_n(\gamma) \in \mathbb{C}$ by*

$$I_n(\gamma) = (-1)^{\frac{(n+p)(n+p-1)}{2}} \sum_\sigma \int_\sigma a_\sigma \wedge \omega_n. \tag{4.1.2}$$

Since the integral (4.1.1) is zero for a simplex σ contained in $\mathbf{D}$, the integral (4.1.2) does not depend on the choice of a representative of γ. The map I_n is compatible with subdivisions of triangulations, and we obtain a map

$$I_n : AC_{n+p}(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p) \to \mathbb{C}.$$

In this section, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3 (Generalized Cauchy formula)**.** *Let γ be an element in $AC_{n+p+1}(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$. Then we have the equality*

$$I_{n-1}(\partial\gamma) + (-1)^n I_n(\mathbf{d}\gamma) = 0. \tag{4.1.3}$$

Since the differential D on $AC^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ is given by (3.3.15) in Definition 3.9, the map

$$I : AC^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{C} \tag{4.1.4}$$

defined by $I = \sum_n I_n$ is a homomorphism of complexes.

Let $\gamma = \sum \sigma \cdot a_\sigma$ be an element of $AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$ for a good triangulation K. By setting $\partial\gamma = \sum \tau \cdot c_\tau$ and $\delta\gamma = \sum \nu \cdot b_\nu$. The equality (4.1.3) can be written as

$$\sum_\nu \int_\nu b_\nu \wedge \omega_n - \sum_\sigma \int_\sigma da_\sigma \wedge \omega_n = (-1)^p \sum_\tau \int_\tau c_\tau \wedge \omega_{n-1}. \tag{4.1.5}$$

4.2. **Outline of the proof of Theorem 4.3.** Let γ be an element in $AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$ and $\sum_\sigma \sigma \cdot a_\sigma$ be its standard representative as in (2.2.3). We define elements $\gamma_{\mathbf{D}}$ and $\gamma_{\mathbf{D}^c}$ in $C_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\mathbf{D}} &= \sum_{|\sigma| \cap \mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{D}} \sigma \cdot a_\sigma, \\ \gamma_{\mathbf{D}^c} &= \gamma - \gamma_{\mathbf{D}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.6}$$

Then $\gamma_{\mathbf{D}}$ is an element in $AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$, and as a consequence $\gamma_{\mathbf{D}^c}$ is also an element in $AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$. Theorem 4.3 is a consequence of the following Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.5.

Proposition 4.4. *Let γ be an element in $AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$. Then we have $I_n(\mathbf{d}\gamma_{\mathbf{D}}) = 0$. As a consequence, Theorem 4.3 holds for $\gamma_{\mathbf{D}}$.*

The proof of Proposition 4.4 is given in §4.3.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\gamma = \sum_\sigma \sigma \cdot a_\sigma$ be an element in $AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$ such that $a_\sigma = 0$ if $|\sigma| \cap \mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{D}$. Then Theorem 4.3 holds for γ.*

Let $\{H_{i,\alpha}\}$ be the set of codimension one cubical faces defined in §2.3. We define $\mathbf{H}_h$ as the union of higher codimensional cubical faces, i.e.

$$\mathbf{H}_h = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \le i < i' \le n, \\ \alpha \in \{0,\infty\}, \beta \in \{0,\infty\}}} (H_{i,\alpha} \cap H_{i',\beta}).$$

In §4.4, we prove the following theorem.

Proposition 4.6 (Generalized Cauchy formula for codimension one face)**.** *Let $\gamma = \sum_\sigma \sigma \cdot a_\sigma$ be an element in $AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$. Suppose that (1) $a_\sigma = 0$ if $|\sigma| \cap \mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{D}$, and (2) $|\gamma| \cap \mathbf{H}_h = \emptyset$. Then Theorem 4.3 holds for γ.*

In the rest of this section, we prove Proposition 4.5 assuming Proposition 4.6 by limit argument. Let ϵ be a sufficiently small positive real number and set $z_i^{(0)} = z_i$ and $z_i^{(\infty)} = z_i^{-1}$. We define a neighborhood N_ϵ of $\mathbf{H}_h$ by

$$N_\epsilon = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \le i < i' \le n, \\ \alpha \in \{0,\infty\}, \beta \in \{0,\infty\}}} \{z \in P^n \mid |z_i^{(\alpha)}| \le \epsilon, |z_{i'}^{(\alpha')}| \le \epsilon\}.$$

We set $N_\epsilon^* = \overline{P^n - N_\epsilon}$. Let K_ϵ be a good subdivision of K such that N_ϵ and N_ϵ^* are subcomplexes of K_ϵ. By the subdivision map a chain of K is regarded as a chain of K_ϵ.

Definition 4.7. (1) *Let σ be a k-simplex in K. Let $\sigma_{\ge\epsilon}$ be a chain in K_ϵ such that $|\sigma_{\ge\epsilon}| = |\sigma| \cap N_\epsilon^*$ and $\sigma_{\ge\epsilon}$ has the same orientation as σ. We set $\sigma_{=\epsilon} = \delta(\sigma_{\ge\epsilon}) - (\delta\sigma)_{\ge\epsilon}$.*

(2) *For an element $\gamma = \sum_\sigma \sigma \cdot a_\sigma$ in $C_k(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$, we set*

$$\gamma_{\ge\epsilon} = \sum_\sigma \sigma_{\ge\epsilon} \cdot a_\sigma, \quad \gamma_{=\epsilon} = \sum_\sigma \sigma_{=\epsilon} \cdot a_\sigma.$$

Then we have $\delta(\gamma_{\ge\epsilon}) = (\delta\gamma)_{\ge\epsilon} + \gamma_{=\epsilon}$.

Then we have $|\gamma_{=\epsilon}| \subset \delta N_\epsilon^*$. By the compatibility of ∂ with subdivisions of triangulations, we have $\partial(\gamma_{\ge\epsilon}) = (\partial\gamma)_{\ge\epsilon}$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let σ be an admissible $(n+p+1)$-simplex in K such that $|\tau| = |\sigma| \cap \mathbf{H}$ is not contained in $\mathbf{D}$. For a sufficiently small ϵ, the element $\sigma_{=\epsilon}$ is admissible.*

Proof. If $|\tau| \subset \mathbf{H}_h$, then $|\sigma_{=\epsilon}| \cap \mathbf{H} = \emptyset$ by the definition of N_ϵ^*. If $|\tau| \not\subset \mathbf{H}_h$, then there is a unique codimension one face $H_{i,\alpha}$ such that $|\tau| \subset H_{i,\alpha}$. Since $\sigma_{=\epsilon} \cap \mathbf{H}_h = \emptyset$, $\sigma_{=\epsilon}$ does not meet other cubical face than $H_{i,\alpha}$. Hence it suffices to show that $\sigma_{=\epsilon}$ meets $H_{i,\alpha}$ properly. By the admissibility of σ we have $\dim |\sigma| \cap H_{i,\alpha} \le \dim |\sigma| - 2$. By the semi-algebraic triviality of semi-algebraic maps (Theorem 9.3.2 of [4]), for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, we have the inequality $\dim |\sigma| \cap H_{i,\alpha} \cap \{|z_{i'}^{(\alpha')}| = \epsilon\} \le \dim |\sigma| - 3$ for any (i', α'). Since $|\sigma_{=\epsilon}| \cap H_{i,\alpha} \subset |\sigma| \cap H_{i,\alpha} \cap \big(\bigcup_{(i',\alpha')} \{|z_{i'}^{(\alpha')}| = \epsilon\} \big)$, we have $\dim(|\sigma_{=\epsilon}| \cap H_{i,\alpha}) \le \dim |\sigma| - 3$. □

Proof of Proposition 4.5 assuming Proposition 4.6. Let $\gamma = \sum_\sigma \sigma \cdot a_\sigma$ be an element in $AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}_n^p)$ such that $a_\sigma = 0$ if $|\sigma| \cap \mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{D}$. We show that $\gamma_{\ge\epsilon}$ is an element in $AC_{n+p+1}(K^\epsilon, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}_n^p)$ as follows. The set $|\gamma_{\ge\epsilon}|$ is contained in $|\gamma|$ which is admissible by the assumption. Hence $|\gamma_{\ge\epsilon}|$ is admissible. We have $|\delta(\gamma_{\ge\epsilon})| = |(\delta\gamma)_{\ge\epsilon}| \cup |\gamma_{=\epsilon}|$. The set $|(\delta\gamma)_{\ge\epsilon}|$ is admissible by the same reason as above. For ϵ sufficiently small $|\gamma_{=\epsilon}|$ is admissible by Lemma 4.8. Therefore $\gamma_{\ge\epsilon}$ is an element in $AC_{n+p+1}(K^\epsilon, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}_n^p)$.

Since $N_\epsilon^* \cap \mathbf{H}_h = \emptyset$, the element $\gamma_{\ge\epsilon}$ satisfies the condition of Proposition 4.6 and we have $I_n(\mathbf{d}(\gamma_{\ge\epsilon})) + (-1)^n I_{n-1}(\partial(\gamma_{\ge\epsilon})) = 0$. We prove the equality

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} I_n(\mathbf{d}(\gamma_{\ge\epsilon})) + (-1)^n I_{n-1}(\partial(\gamma_{\ge\epsilon})) = I_n(\mathbf{d}\gamma) + (-1)^n I_{n-1}(\partial\gamma). \tag{4.2.7}$$

We set $\delta\gamma = \sum \nu \cdot b_\nu$ and $\partial\gamma = \sum \tau \cdot c_\tau$. By the admissibility of $\gamma, \delta\gamma$ and $\partial\gamma$, the integrals $\int_\sigma da_\sigma \wedge \omega_n$, $\int_\nu b_\nu \wedge \omega_n$ and $\int_\tau c_\tau \wedge \omega_{n-1}$ converge absolutely by Theorem 4.1. By Lebesgue's convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\sigma_{\geq\epsilon}} da_\sigma \wedge \omega_n = \int_\sigma da_\sigma \wedge \omega_n, \quad \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\nu_{\geq\epsilon}} b_\nu \wedge \omega_n = \int_\nu b_\nu \wedge \omega_n,$$
$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\tau_{\geq\epsilon}} c_\tau \wedge \omega_{n-1} = \int_\tau c_\tau \wedge \omega_{n-1}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I_n(d(\gamma_{\geq\epsilon})) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I_n((d\gamma)_{\geq\epsilon}) = I_n(d\gamma),$$
$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I_n((\delta\gamma)_{\geq\epsilon}) = I_n(\delta\gamma),$$
$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I_{n-1}(\partial(\gamma_{\geq\epsilon})) = I_{n-1}((\partial\gamma)_{\geq\epsilon}) = I_{n-1}(\partial\gamma).$$

By the equality $\delta(\gamma_{\geq\epsilon}) = (\delta\gamma)_{\geq\epsilon} + \gamma_{=\epsilon}$, to show the equality (4.2.7), it is enough to prove the equality

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I_n(\gamma_{=\epsilon}) = 0. \tag{4.2.8}$$

For a positive real number t and $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, $\alpha \in \{0, \infty\}$, $\beta \in \{0, \infty\}$, we set

$$A_t^{(i,\alpha),(j,\beta)} = \{z \in P^n |\ |z_i^{(\alpha)}| \leq |z_j^{(\beta)}| = t\}.$$

For an $(n+p+1)$-simplex σ of K, we have the relation

$$|\sigma_{=\epsilon}| \subset \bigcup_{\substack{1\leq i\neq j\leq n,\\ \alpha\in\{0,\infty\},\beta\in\{0,\infty\}}} |\sigma| \cap A_\epsilon^{(i,\alpha),(j,\beta)}.$$

We recall the following proposition from Part I [8]

Proposition 4.9 ([8], Theorem 4.7)**.** *Let σ be an $(n+p+1)$-simplex and a_σ be an element in $\mathcal{A}^p(\sigma)$. Assume that σ is admissible. Then for a sufficiently small $t > 0$, the dimension of $|\sigma| \cap A_t^{(i,\alpha),(j,\beta)}$ is equal to or less than $n+p$, and we have*

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\int_{\sigma\cap A_t^{(i,\alpha),(j,\beta)}} |a_\sigma \wedge \omega_n| = 0.$$

By Proposition 4.9, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\sigma_{=\epsilon}} a_\sigma \wedge \omega_n = \sum_{i\neq j}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\sigma\cap A_\epsilon^{(i,\alpha),(j,\beta)}} a_\sigma \wedge \omega_n = 0.$$

and as a consequence, we have the equality (4.2.8). □

4.3. **Proof of Proposition 4.4.** Let σ be an $(n+p+1)$ simplex such that $|\sigma| \cap \mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{D}$. Then $\sigma \cdot a_\sigma$ is an element of $AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$. Since any element $\gamma \in AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$ satisfying $|\gamma| \cap \mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{D}$ is a sum of elements of this form, it is enough to prove Proposition 4.4 for $\sigma \cdot a_\sigma$.

Since K is a good triangulation, for an $(n+p+1)$-simplex σ, $|\sigma| \cap \mathbf{H}$ is a full subcomplex of $|\sigma|$ and it is a face of $|\sigma|$. Therefore $|\sigma| \cap \mathbf{H} \subset H_{i,\alpha}$ for some (i, α) because $\mathbf{H}$ is a union of codimension one face $H_{i,\alpha}$. We may assume that $H = H_{1,0}$.

For a positive number ϵ, we set

$$\mathcal{N}_\epsilon^* = \{|z_1| \geq \epsilon\} \subset P^n$$

Let K^ϵ be a subdivision of K such that $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon^*$ is a subcomplex of K^ϵ and a chain in K is regarded as a chain in K^ϵ. Then $\sigma_\epsilon = \sigma \cap \mathcal{N}_\epsilon^*$ becomes a chain of K^ϵ with the same orientation as σ. Since σ_ϵ does not meet $\mathbf{H}$, we have the equality

$$\int_{\delta(\sigma_{\geq\epsilon})} a_\sigma \wedge \omega_n = \int_{\sigma_{\geq\epsilon}} da_\sigma \wedge \omega_n \tag{4.3.9}$$

by the Stokes formula. We consider the limit of this as $\epsilon \to 0$. For ϵ small enough, we have $\dim(|\sigma| \cap \{|z_1| = \epsilon\}) = n+p$ by semi-algebraic triviality ([4]). Let $\sigma_{=\epsilon}$ be the $(n+p)$-chain such that $|\sigma_{=\epsilon}| = |\sigma| \cap \{|z_1| = \epsilon\}$, and $\sigma_{=\epsilon}$ has the orientation as part of the boundary of σ_ϵ. Then we have

$$\delta(\sigma_{\geq\epsilon}) = \sigma_{=\epsilon} + (\delta\sigma)_{\geq\epsilon}.$$

As the chains σ and $\delta\sigma$ are admissible, the integrals $\displaystyle\int_{\delta\sigma} a_\sigma \wedge \omega_n$ and $\displaystyle\int_\sigma da_\sigma \wedge \omega_n$ converge absolutely. By Lebesgue's convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{(\delta\sigma)_{\geq\epsilon}} a_\sigma \wedge \omega_n = \int_{\delta\sigma} a_\sigma \wedge \omega_n, \quad \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{\sigma_{\geq\epsilon}} da_\sigma \wedge \omega_n = \int_\sigma da_\sigma \wedge \omega.$$

We recall the following proposition proved in Part I [8].

Proposition 4.10 ([8], Theorem 4.8)**.** *Let σ be an $(n+p+1)$-simplex and a_σ be an element in $\mathcal{A}^p(\sigma)$. Assume that $|\sigma| \cap \mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{D}$. Then we have*

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \int_{\sigma\cap\{|z_1|=t\}} |a_\sigma \wedge \omega_n| = 0.$$

By Proposition 4.10, we have the equality

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{\sigma_{=\epsilon}} a_\sigma \wedge \omega_n = 0.$$

By taking the limit of (4.3.9) for $\epsilon \to 0$, we have

$$\int_{\delta\sigma} a_\sigma \wedge \omega_n = \int_\sigma da_\sigma \wedge \omega_n$$

and we finish the proof of Proposition 4.4.

4.4. **Proof of Proposition 4.6.** We fix a good ordering of K with respect to $\mathbf{H}$. Let $\gamma = \sum_\sigma \sigma \cdot a_\sigma$ be an element in $AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}, \mathcal{A}^p)$ satisfying the condition of Proposition 4.6. Then we have

$$\gamma = \gamma_\emptyset + \sum_{(i,\alpha)} \gamma_{i,\alpha} \tag{4.4.10}$$

where $\gamma_\emptyset = \sum_{\sigma \cap \mathbf{H} = \emptyset} \sigma \cdot a_\sigma$ and $\gamma_{i,\alpha} = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \cap \mathbf{H} \neq \emptyset \\ \sigma \cap \mathbf{H} \subset H_{i,\alpha}}} \sigma \cdot a_\sigma$. Since $|\gamma| \cap \mathbf{H}_h = \emptyset$ and $|\gamma_{i,\alpha}| \cap H' = \emptyset$ for any face other than $H_{i,\alpha}$, each $\gamma_{i,\alpha}$ is an element in $AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}, \mathcal{A}^p)$. It suffices to prove the assertion for $\gamma_\emptyset$ and $\gamma_{i,\alpha}$. The assertion for $\gamma_\emptyset$ follows from the Stokes formula. Therefore to prove Proposition 4.6, we may also assume that $|\gamma| \cap \mathbf{H} \subset H = H_{1,0}$. For an $(n+p+1)$-simplex σ, we set $\sigma' = [v_0, v_1, v_2], \sigma'' = [v_2, \cdots, v_{n+p+1}]$, where $\sigma = [v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_{n+p+1}]$ that $v_0 < v_1 < \cdots < v_{n+p+1}$. To compute the image $\partial\gamma$ of the face map, we choose a Thom form as follows. Let $\rho : \mathbf{R}_+ \to [0,1]$ be a C^∞ function such that

$$\rho(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & (r \leq \frac{1}{2}), \\ 1 & (r \geq 1). \end{cases}$$

Let ϵ be a small positive number, and let ρ_ϵ be a function on $\mathbb{P}^1$ defined by $\rho_\epsilon(z_1) = \rho(\frac{|z_1|}{\epsilon})$. The function on P^n given by $(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto \rho_\epsilon(z_1)$ is also denoted by ρ_ϵ. Then $T = d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1$ is a Thom form.

We set $\gamma = \sum_\sigma \sigma \cdot a_\sigma \in AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$, $\delta\gamma = \sum_\nu \nu \cdot b_\nu$. Using the above Thom form, the image of γ under the face map is computed as

$$\partial\gamma = \sum_{\{\sigma | \sigma'' \subset H\}} \sigma'' \Big(\int_{\sigma'} d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \Big) a_\sigma |_{\sigma''}.$$

Therefore the assertion (4.1.5) is written as follows:

$$\sum_\nu \int_\nu b_\nu \wedge \omega_n - \sum_\sigma \int_\sigma da_\sigma \wedge \omega_n = (-1)^p \sum_\sigma \int_{\sigma'} d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \cdot \int_{\sigma''} a_\sigma |_{\sigma''} \wedge \omega_{n-1}. \tag{4.4.11}$$

Since $\rho_\epsilon a_\sigma \wedge \omega_n$ is smooth on a neighborhood of σ, and zero on a neighborhood of H, we have the equality

$$\int_\sigma \big(d\rho_\epsilon \wedge a_\sigma + \rho_\epsilon da_\sigma \big) \wedge \omega_n = \int_{\delta\sigma} \rho_\epsilon a_\sigma \wedge \omega_n \tag{4.4.12}$$

by the Stokes formula. The summation of the right hand side of (4.4.12) for σ is equal to

$$\sum_\sigma \int_{\delta\sigma} \rho_\epsilon a_\sigma \wedge \omega_n = \sum_\nu \int_\nu \rho_\epsilon b_\nu \wedge \omega_n.$$

By Theorem 4.1 and Lebesgue's convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\sigma \rho_\epsilon da_\sigma \wedge \omega_n = \int_\sigma da_\sigma \wedge \omega_n,$$
$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\nu \rho_\epsilon b_\nu \wedge \omega_n = \int_\nu b_\nu \wedge \omega_n.$$

By summing up (4.4.12) for all σ and taking the limit for $\epsilon \to 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\sum_{\sigma}\int_{\sigma} d\rho_\epsilon \wedge a_\sigma \wedge \omega_n = \sum_{\nu}\int_{\nu} b_\nu \wedge \omega_n - \sum_{\sigma}\int_{\sigma} da_\sigma \wedge \omega_n.$$

Comparing with (4.4.11), to prove Theorem 4.3, it is enough to show the equality:

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\sum_{\sigma}\int_{\sigma} d\rho_\epsilon \wedge a_\sigma \wedge \omega_n = (-1)^p \sum_{\sigma}\int_{\sigma'} d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \cdot \int_{\sigma''} a_\sigma|_{\sigma''} \wedge \omega_{n-1}. \tag{4.4.13}$$

We reduce the proof of Proposition 4.6 to the case where $|\gamma| \cap H$ is a simplex. For this purpose, we prepare the following definition.

Definition 4.11. *Let $\gamma = \sum_\sigma \sigma \cdot a_\sigma$ be an element in $AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$ such that (1) $|\gamma| \cap \mathbf{H} \subset H$, and (2) $a_\sigma = 0$ for $|\sigma| \cap H \subset \mathbf{D}$. Let τ be a simplex such that $|\tau| \subset H$ and $|\tau| \not\subset \mathbf{D}$. We define an element $\gamma^{(\tau)}$ in $C_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$ by*

$$\gamma^{(\tau)} = \sum_{|\sigma|\cap H = |\tau|} \sigma \cdot a_\sigma. \tag{4.4.14}$$

Then we have an equality

$$\gamma = \sum_{|\tau|\subset|\gamma|\cap H} \gamma^{(\tau)}.$$

Proposition 4.12. *Suppose that $\gamma = \sum_\sigma \sigma\cdot a_\sigma \in AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^q)$ such that $|\gamma|\cap\mathbf{H}_h = \emptyset$ and $|\gamma|\cap\mathbf{H} \subset H$. Let τ be a simplex in $|\gamma|\cap H$ not contained in $\mathbf{D}$. Then $\gamma^{(\tau)}$ is an element in $AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^q)$.*

Proof. We prove that $|\delta\gamma^{(\tau)}|$ is admissible. Since $|\gamma| - \mathbf{D}$ meets H properly, we have $\dim\tau \leq n+p-1$. If $\dim\tau < n+p-1$, then $|\delta(\gamma^{(\tau)})| - \mathbf{D}$ meets H properly since $|\delta(\gamma^{(\tau)})|\cap H \subset |\tau|$. We consider the case where $\dim\tau = n+p-1$. We have

$$\delta(\gamma^{(\tau)}) = \sum_{|\nu|\subset|\gamma^{(\tau)}|} \nu\cdot b_\nu,\ b_\nu = \sum_{\substack{\nu\prec\sigma \\ |\sigma|\cap H = |\tau|}} [\sigma:\nu](a_\sigma|_{|\nu|})$$

and

$$\delta\gamma = \sum_{|\nu|\subset|\gamma|} \nu\cdot c_\nu,\ c_\nu = \sum_{\nu\prec\sigma}[\sigma:\nu](a_\sigma|_{|\nu|})$$

To prove the admissibility of $\delta\gamma^{(\tau)}$, it is sufficient to show the following claim.
Claim. Let ν be an $(n+p)$-simplex in K such that (1) $|\nu| \subset |\gamma^{(\tau)}|$, and (2) $|\nu| - \mathbf{D}$ does not meet H properly. Then we have $|\nu| \cap H = |\tau|$ and $b_\nu = 0$.
Proof of the claim Let ν be a simplex with the conditions in the claim. Then $|\nu| \cap H$ is a face of $|\nu|$, since K is a good triangulation.

By condition (1), we have $|\nu| \cap H \subset |\gamma^{(\tau)}| \cap H = |\tau|$ and $\dim(\nu \cap H) \leq \dim(\tau)$. By condition (2), we have $n+p-1 \leq \dim|\nu| \cap H$. Since $\dim|\tau| = n+p-1$, the above inequalities are equal and we have $|\nu| \cap H = |\tau|$.

We consider the each term appeared on the right hand side of c_ν. Let σ be a $n+p+1$-simplex such that $|\nu| \subset |\sigma|$ and $a_\sigma \neq 0$. By the admissibility condition, we have $\dim|\sigma|\cap H \leq n+p-1$. Since $|\nu| \cap H = |\tau|$, we have

$$\dim|\nu| \cap H \leq \dim|\sigma| \cap H \leq n+p-1 = \dim\tau,$$

so that $|\sigma| \cap H = |\tau|$. Thus this term appears on the right hand side of b_ν. So we have $b_\nu = c_\nu$. Since $c_\nu = 0$ by the admissibility of $\delta\gamma$, we have $b_\nu = 0$.

□

Let γ be an element in $AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$. Then we have an equality

$$\gamma = \sum_{|\tau| \subset |\gamma| \cap H} \gamma^{(\tau)}.$$

By Proposition 4.12 the chain $\gamma^{(\tau)} \in AC_{n+p+1}(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^p)$ for each τ. It suffices to prove the assertion for each $\gamma^{(\tau)}$ which we do. So until the end of §4.4, we assume that $\gamma = \gamma^{(\tau)}$ for a simplex $\tau \subset H$.

Let σ be a $(n+p+1)$-simplex in γ and assume given a smooth $(n+p-1)$-form φ on a neighborhood U of $|\sigma|$. The inclusion $i : H \to P^n$ restricts to an inclusion $i_U : U \cap H \to U$, and we have $i_U^* \varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{n+p-1}(U \cap H)$ (when there is no fear of confusion, we abbreviate it to $i^*\varphi$.) Since $|\tau^\circ| \subset H$ is a smooth submanifold, $i^*\varphi$ restricts to a smooth form on $|\tau^\circ|$, denoted by the same $i^*\varphi$ (this is where the facewise regularity is used); it is zero if $\dim \tau < n+p-1$.

Definition 4.13 (Barycentric coordinate, linear projection)**.** *Let $\sigma = [a_0, \cdots, a_p]$ be a p-simplex. A point x in σ is expressed uniquely as $x = \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i a_i$ with $\sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i = 1, \lambda_i \geq 0$. The vector $(\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_p)$ is called the barycentric coordinate of x.*

Let $\sigma = [v_0, \ldots, v_p]$ be a p-simplex and $\tau = [v_k, \ldots, v_p]$ be a proper $(p-k)$-face of σ $(0 < k \leq p)$. We set $\tau' = [v_0, \cdots, v_{k-1}]$. We define a linear projection $\pi_{\sigma,\tau} : |\sigma| - |\tau'| \to |\tau|$ by

$$\pi_{\sigma,\tau}(x) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=k}^p \lambda_i}(\lambda_k, \ldots, \lambda_p),$$

where $(\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_p)$ is the barycentric coordinate of x.

Let $\pi_\sigma = \pi_{\sigma,\tau}$ be the linear projection $|\sigma^\circ| \to |\tau|$ defined in Definition 4.13. The map π_σ restricts to a smooth map between submanifolds, $|\sigma^\circ| \to |\tau^\circ|$, thus the pull-back $\pi_\sigma^* i^* \varphi$ defines a smooth form on $|\sigma^\circ|$.

The following proposition will be proved in §4.5.

Proposition 4.14. *Let σ be a $(n+p+1)$-simplex in γ.*

(1) *We have*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \left(a_\sigma \wedge \omega_{n-1} - \pi_\sigma^* i^*(a_\sigma \wedge \omega_{n-1})\right) = 0 \tag{4.4.15}$$

(2) *If the dimension of $\tau < n+p-1$, then the equality*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \wedge a_\sigma \wedge \omega_{n-1} = 0$$

holds.

By Proposition 4.14 (2), it is sufficient to prove the assertion of Proposition 4.6 for the case where $\gamma = \gamma^{(\tau)}$ and $\dim \tau = n+p-1$. Under this assumption, we have $\sigma'' = \tau$ for a $(n+p+1)$-simplex σ such that $|\sigma| \subset |\gamma|$.

Proposition 4.15. *For a sufficiently small positive ϵ, we have the equality*

$$\sum_{\sigma}\int_{\sigma} d\rho_\epsilon\wedge\omega_1\wedge\pi_\sigma^* i^*(a_\sigma\wedge\omega_{n-1}) = \sum_{\sigma}\left(\int_{\sigma'} d\rho_\epsilon\wedge\omega_1\right)\cdot\left(\int_{\tau} i^*(a_\sigma\wedge\omega_{n-1})\right) \tag{4.4.16}$$

Proof. Consider the chain $\gamma|_\tau = \sum_\sigma \sigma\cdot(a_\sigma|_{|\tau|})$. It is an element of $C_{n+p+1}(K,\mathbf{D};\mathbb{Q})\otimes\mathcal{A}^p_n(\tau)$.

Lemma 4.16. *We have $\gamma|_\tau \in AC_{n+p+1}(K,\mathbf{D};\mathbb{Q})\otimes\mathcal{A}^p(\tau)$.*

Proof. We set $\delta\gamma = \sum_\nu \nu\cdot b_\nu$, where ν runs over $(n+p)$-simplexes contained in the support of γ. By the admissibility condition of $\delta\gamma$, $b_\nu = 0$ for a non-admissible $(n+p)$-simplex ν. Take a basis $\{a_j\}$ of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $\mathcal{A}^p(\tau)$. We set $a_\sigma|_{|\tau|} = \sum_j q_{\sigma j}a_j$ $(q_{\sigma j}\in\mathbb{Q})$ for each σ, and $\gamma_j = \sum_\sigma \sigma\cdot q_{\sigma j}$. The chain γ_j is admissible for each j, and we have the equality

$$\gamma|_\tau = \sum \gamma_j\cdot a_j$$

Set $\delta\gamma_j = \sum_\nu \nu\cdot c_{\nu j}$ for each j. Since $|\gamma|\cap H = |\tau|$, we have $\nu\cap H\subset\nu\cap\tau\subset\tau$. If ν is not admissible, then $n+p-1\le\dim(\nu\cap H)\le\dim\tau = n+p-1$. As a consequence, we have $\tau = \nu\cap H\subset\nu$ and $\sum_j c_{\nu j}a_j = b_\nu|_\tau = 0$. Thus we have $c_{\nu j}=0$ for each j. It follows that $\gamma_j\in AC_{n+p+1}(K,\mathbf{D};\mathbb{Q})$ for each j. □

We recall the formulation of projection formula for integrals of differential forms. Let M, N be oriented smooth manifolds of dimension m, n, respectively. Then $M\times N$ is equipped with the product orientation. Let $\pi : M\times N\to N$ be the projection to N. For φ an m-form on $M\times N$ and ψ an n-form on N, we have *projection formula*

$$\int_{M\times N}\varphi\wedge\pi^*\psi = \int_N(\pi_*\varphi)\psi\,.$$

Here $\pi_*\varphi$ is the function

$$(\pi_*\varphi)(y) = \int_M \varphi|_{M\times\{y\}}\,.$$

(The precise meaning of the equality is that, if the left hand side is absolutely convergent, then the function $\pi_*\varphi$ is measurable, the right hand side is also absolutely convergent, and the equality holds.) This formula follows from Fubini's theorem for Lebesgue integrals.

Both the right and left hand side of (4.4.16) is linear in γ. We can thus assume that $\gamma = \gamma_0\cdot a$ where $\gamma_0\in AC_{n+p+1}(K,\mathbf{D};\mathbb{Q})$ and $a\in\mathcal{A}^p(\tau)$. We write $\gamma_0 = \sum_\sigma \sigma\cdot q_\sigma,\ q_\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then $a_\sigma = q_\sigma a$ and by the projection formula, we have the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\sigma}\int_{\sigma} d\rho_\epsilon\wedge\omega_1\wedge\pi_\sigma^* i^*(a_\sigma\wedge\omega_{n-1}) \\
=&\sum_{\sigma}\int_{\sigma} q_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon\wedge\omega_1\wedge\pi_\sigma^* i^*(a\wedge\omega_{n-1}) \\
=&\sum_{\sigma}\int_{\tau}\left(\int_{[v_0,v_1,t]} q_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon\wedge\omega_1\right) i^*\left(a\wedge\omega_{n-1}\right) \\
=&\int_{\tau}\sum_{\sigma}\left(\int_{[v_0,v_1,t]} q_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon\wedge\omega_1\right) i^*\left(a\wedge\omega_{n-1}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4.17}$$

Here $[v_0,v_1,t]$ is the simplex spanned by points v_0, v_1, t for $t\in|\tau|$.

Lemma 4.17. *For a $(n+p+1)$-simplex σ, we write $\sigma' = [v_0, v_1, v_2]$. We have the equality*

$$\sum_{\sigma} \int_{[v_0,v_1,t]} q_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 = \sum_{\sigma} \int_{[v_0,v_1,v_2]} q_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \tag{4.4.18}$$

for all $t \in \tau$.



Proof. Let σ and ν be an $(n+p+1)$-simplex and an $(n+p)$-simplex respectively, such that $\sigma \succ \nu \succ \tau$. We set $\sigma = [v_0, v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_{n+p+1}]$, $\nu = [v, v_2, \cdots, v_{n+p+1}]$ and $\tau = [v_2, \cdots, v_{n+p+1}]$. For a point $t \in \tau$ we set $\sigma_t = [v_0, v_1, v_2, t]$ and $\nu_t = [v, v_2, t]$. Then we have $[\sigma : \nu] = [\sigma_t : \nu_t]$. Since ν is not admissible, the coefficient of ν in $\delta\gamma_0$ is zero by the admissibility of $\delta\gamma_0$. Therefore we have

$$0 = \sum_{\{\sigma|\sigma\succ\nu\}} [\sigma : \nu] q_\sigma = \sum_{\{\sigma|\sigma\succ\nu\}} [\sigma_t : \nu_t] q_\sigma.$$

and prove the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma} \delta\sigma_t \cdot q_\sigma &= \sum_{\sigma} q_\sigma \Big([v_0, v_1, t] - [v_0, v_1, v_2] \Big) + \sum_{\{\nu|\nu\succ\tau\}} \Big(\sum_{\{\sigma|\sigma\succ\nu\}} [\sigma_t, \nu_t] q_\sigma \Big) \nu_t \\ &= \sum_{\sigma} q_\sigma \Big([v_0, v_1, t] - [v_0, v_1, v_2] \Big). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4.19}$$

The equality (4.4.19) implies the equality

$$\sum_{\sigma} q_\sigma \Big(\int_{[v_0,v_1,t]-[v_0,v_1,v_2]} q_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \Big) = \sum_{\sigma} \int_{\delta\sigma_t} d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 = 0$$

by the Stokes formula and we finish the proof. □

Therefore the last line of (4.4.17) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\tau \sum_\sigma \Big(\int_{[v_0,v_1,t]} q_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \Big) i^* \Big(a \wedge \omega_{n-1} \Big) \\
=&\int_\tau \sum_\sigma \Big(\int_{\sigma'} q_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \Big) i^* \Big(a \wedge \omega_{n-1} \Big) \quad \text{(Lemma 4.17)} \\
=&\Big(\sum_\sigma \int_{\sigma'} q_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \Big) \cdot \int_\tau i^*(a \wedge \omega_{n-1}) \\
=&\sum_\sigma \int_{\sigma'} d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \cdot \int_\tau i^*(a_\sigma \wedge \omega_{n-1})
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved the assertion. □

By Proposition 4.15 and Proposition 4.14 (1), we have

Proposition 4.18. *If* $\dim \tau = n + p - 1$*, then for a sufficiently small real number* $\epsilon_0 > 0$*, we have the equality*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sum_\sigma \int_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \wedge a_\sigma \wedge \omega_{n-1} \\
&= \sum_\sigma \Big(\int_{\sigma'} d\rho_{\epsilon_0} \wedge \omega_1 \Big) \Big(\int_\tau i^*(a_\sigma \wedge \omega_{n-1}) \Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Here the sum is taken over the $(n + p + 1)$*-simplexes of* γ*.*

The equality (4.4.11) follows from Proposition 4.18 and Proposition 4.14 (2). Note that the sign $(-1)^p$ comes from the equality $\omega_1 \wedge a_\sigma = (-1)^p a_\sigma \wedge \omega_1$.

4.5. **Proof of Proposition 4.14.** In this subsection, we prove the following proposition from which Proposition 4.14 follows.

Proposition 4.19. *Let* σ *be an* $(n+p+1)$*-simplex in* γ*, and let* φ *be a smooth* $(n+p-1)$*-form on a neighborhood of* $|\sigma|$*.*

(1) *When* ϵ *is sufficiently small, the integral* $\int_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \big(\varphi - \pi_\sigma^* i^* \varphi\big)$ *converges absolutely.*
(2) *We have the equality*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \big(\varphi - \pi_\sigma^* i^* \varphi\big) = 0. \tag{4.5.20}$$

Proof of Proposition 4.19. The form φ is a sum of the forms $f\, du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_{n+p-1}$, where u_i are from the set $\{x_1, y_1, \cdots, x_n, y_n\}$, and f is a smooth function. One may thus assume $\varphi = f\, du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_{n+p-1}$.

(1) We wish to apply [8] Theorem 2.6, which reads as follows: Let S be a compact semi-algebraic set of dimension m, $h : S \to \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a continuous semi-algebraic map, and ψ be a smooth m-form defined on an open set of $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ containing $h(S)$. Then the integral $\int_S |h^*\psi|$ is convergent.

It is useful note that differential forms on S of the form $h^*\psi$, with $h : S \to \mathbb{R}^\ell$ continuous semi-algebraic, and ψ a smooth p-form $(0 \le p \le m = \dim S)$ are closed under wedge product.

Indeed, if $h' : S \to \mathbb{R}^{\ell'}$ is another continuous semi-algebraic map, and ψ' a smooth p'-form on an neighborhood of $h'(S')$, then $(h^*\psi) \wedge (h'^*\psi')$ equals the pull-back by the product map $(h, h') : S \to \mathbb{R}^{\ell} \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell'}$ of the smooth form $(p_1^*\psi) \wedge (p_2^*\psi')$ defined on a neighborhood of $(h, h')(S)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\ell+\ell'}$.

In order to show the absolute convergence of $\int_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \pi_\sigma^* i^*\varphi$, let S be the compact semi-algebraic set obtained from $|\sigma|$ by removing a small neighborhood of $|\tau'|$, and note that the integral in question equals $\int_S d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \pi_\sigma^* i^*\varphi$. We consider the projection $\pi : |\sigma| - |\tau'| \to |\tau| \subset H_1$ restricted to S,

$$\pi : S \to |\tau| \subset H_1 \,,$$

and the smooth form $i_U^*\varphi$ defined on a neighborhood of $|\tau|$; then $\pi_\sigma^* i_U^*\varphi$ is a form of the above-mentioned shape $h^*\psi$. Also, pull-back by the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow P^n$ of the smooth form $d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1$ gives us another form of the shape $h^*\psi$. Thus the wedge product of them, $d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \pi_\sigma^* i^*\varphi$, is also a form of the same kind, and we conclude absolute convergence of $\int_S d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \pi_\sigma^* i^*\varphi$ by the theorem we recalled.

Similarly (and more easily) the absolute convergence of $\int_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \varphi$ is obtained by applying the same theorem to the inclusion $|\sigma| \hookrightarrow P^n$ and the smooth form $d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \varphi$.

(2). We need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.20. *For a complex number ζ_1, we set $\sigma(\zeta_1) = |\sigma| \cap \{z_1 = \zeta_1\}$. There exists a closed semi-algebraic set C of $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension ≤ 1 for which the equality*

$$\lim_{|\zeta_1| \to 0,\, \zeta_1 \notin C} \int_{\sigma(\zeta_1)} |\varphi - \pi_\sigma^* i^*\varphi| = 0. \tag{4.5.21}$$

holds.

Proof of Lemma 4.20. By Semi-algebraic triviality of semi-algebraic maps as stated in Theorem 9.3.2, [4], there exists a closed semi-algebraic set C of $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension ≤ 1 such that if $\zeta_1 \notin C$, then the inequality $\dim \sigma(\zeta_1) \leq \dim \sigma - 2$ holds. We have an equality

(4.5.22)

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi - \pi_\sigma^* i^*\varphi \\ = \;& f du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_{n+p+1} - \pi_\sigma^* i^*(f \wedge du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_{n+p+1}) \\ = \;& \big(f - \pi_\sigma^* i^* f\big) \wedge du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_{n+p+1} \\ & + \textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{n+p-1} \pi_\sigma^* i^* f \wedge du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_{k-1} \wedge \big(du_k - \pi_\sigma^* i^* du_k\big) \wedge \pi_\sigma^* i^*(du_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge du_{n+p+1}) \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the integral of the first term on the right hand side of (4.5.22). Let g be the map defined by

$$\sigma \to \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+p-1} : z \to (z_1, u_i).$$

By Proposition 2.7 of [8], we have the inequality

$$\Big| \int_{\sigma(\zeta_1)} (f - \pi_\sigma^* f|_\tau) \wedge du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_{n+p+1}| \leq \operatorname*{Max}_{\sigma(\zeta_1)} |f - \pi_\sigma^* i^* f| \delta(g) \int_{g(\sigma(\zeta_1))} |du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_{n+p+1}|$$

Here $\delta(g)$ denotes the maximal of the cardinalities of finite fibers of g. For the precise definition, see Definition 2.2. of [8]. Note that $\delta(g|_{\sigma(\zeta_1)}) \leq \delta(g)$.

Claim. $\operatorname{Max}_{\sigma(\zeta_1)} |f - \pi_\sigma^* i^* f| \to 0$ as ζ_1 tends to 0 (outside C).

Proof of the claim. The function $f - \pi_\sigma^* i^* f$ is continuous semi-algebraic on $\sigma(\zeta_1)$, and vanishes on $|\tau|$. If the claim were false, there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ and a sequence $P_j \in |\sigma|$ with $|z_1(P_j)| \to 0$ and $|(f - \pi_\sigma^* i^* f)(P_j)| \geq \epsilon$. Taking a subsequence we may assume that the sequence converges to a point $P \in |\sigma|$. Then $z_1(P) = 0$, thus $P \in |\tau|$, while $|(f - \pi_\sigma^* i^* f)(P)| \geq \epsilon$, contradicting the function $f - \pi_\sigma^* i^* f$ being zero on $|\tau|$. □

The integral $\displaystyle\int_{g(\sigma(\zeta_1))} |\wedge_i du_i|$ is bounded by the volume of $p_2 g(\sigma)$ which is independent of ζ_1. We conclude that the integral of the first term on the right hand side of (4.5.22) converges to zero as $|\zeta_1| \to 0$.

We estimate the integral of the second term of the right hand side of (4.5.22). Let h be the map defined by

$$\sigma \to \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+p-1} : z \mapsto (z_1, v_i) = (z_1, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_k - \pi_\sigma^* i^* u_k, \pi_\sigma^* i^* u_{k+1}, \dots \pi_\sigma^* i^* u_{n+p-1}).$$

By Proposition 2.7 of [8], we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\sigma(\zeta_1)} |\pi_\sigma^* i^* f(z) \wedge du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_{k-1} \wedge \big(du_k - \pi_\sigma^* i^* du_k\big) \wedge \pi_\sigma^* i^* (du_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge du_{n+p-1})| \\ &\leq \operatorname*{Max}_{\sigma(\zeta_1)} |\pi_\sigma^* i^* f| \delta(h|_{\sigma(\zeta_1)}) \int_{h(\sigma(\zeta_1))} |du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_{n+p+1}|\end{aligned}$$

where $v_1, \cdots, v_{n+p-1}$ are the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{n+p-1}$. Note that $\delta(h|_{\sigma(\zeta_1)})$ is bounded by $\delta(h)$ which is independent of ζ_1. The function $|\pi_\sigma^* i^* f|$ is bounded $\max\{|f(z)| \mid z \in \tau\}$. By the same proof as for the Claim, $M_{\zeta_1} := \operatorname{Max}_{\sigma(\zeta_1)} |u_k - \pi_\sigma^* i^* u_k|$ tends to zero as $|\zeta_1| \to 0$. There exist numbers $a < b$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_i(|\sigma|) &\subset [a, b] \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1, \text{ and} \\ \pi_\sigma^* i^* u_i(|\sigma|) &\subset [a, b] \quad \text{for } k+1 \leq i \leq n+p-1\,, \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$h(\sigma(\zeta_1)) \subset [a, b]^{k-1} \times [-M_{\zeta_1}, M_{\zeta_1}] \times [a, b]^{n+p-1-k}\,,$$

hence $\displaystyle\int_{h(\sigma(\zeta_1))} dv_1 \cdots dv_{n+p-1} \to 0$. □

We go back to the proof of Proposition 4.19 (2). One has

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} d\rho \wedge \omega_1 = 1\,,$$

as follows from the identity

$$d\rho \wedge \frac{dz_1}{z_1} = i\rho'(r_1) dr_1 \wedge d\theta_1\,.$$

For the form $d\rho_\epsilon(z_1) \wedge \omega_1$, the change of variables $z_1' = z_1/\epsilon$ yields

$$d\rho_\epsilon(z_1) \wedge \omega_1 = d\rho(z_1') \wedge \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{dz_1'}{z_1'}\,.$$

So we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 = 1\,.$$

Also, $d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1$ has support in $|z_1| \leq \epsilon$. Therefore

$$\left| \int_\sigma d\rho_\epsilon \wedge \omega_1 \wedge (\varphi - \pi_\sigma^* i^* \varphi) \right| \leq \underset{|\zeta_1| \leq \epsilon,\, \zeta_1 \notin C}{\mathrm{Max}} \left(\int_{\sigma(\zeta_1)} |\varphi - \pi_\sigma^* i^* \varphi| \right),$$

and the assertion follows from Lemma 4.20 . □

5. Construction of the Hodge realization functor.

In this section, we give a construction of Hodge realization functor for the category of mixed Tate motives.

5.1. **Cycle complexes and graded DGA N.** Let k be a field. Following [3], we recall that the cycle complex of $\operatorname{Spec} k$ may be viewed as a DGA over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Bloch defined the cycle complex for any quasi-projective variety, but we will restrict to the case of $\operatorname{Spec} k$. The cycle complex of $\operatorname{Spec} k$ of codimension r, denoted $\mathcal{Z}^r(\operatorname{Spec} k, \bullet)$, is a homological complex given as follows. Let $\square^n = \square^n_k = (\mathbf{P}^1 - \{1\})^n$, which is isomorphic to affine n-space as a variety (and which coincides with $\square^n$ of §2 if $k = \mathbb{C}$). As in §2, if $(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ are the coordinates of $\square^n$, the coordinate hyperplanes of $\square^n$ are the divisors given by $\{z_i = \alpha\}$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$, $\alpha = 0, \infty$, and the faces are intersections of coordinate hyperplanes.

For $n \geq 0$ and $r \geq 0$, let $Z(r, n)$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space with basis irreducible closed subvarieties of $\square^n$ of codimension r which meet the faces properly. Restricting cycles to coordinate hyperplanes and then taking the alternating sum gives a map $\partial : Z(r, n) \to Z(r, n-1)$, and ∂ is shown to be a differential: $\partial\partial = 0$.

The group $G_n = \{\pm 1\}^n \rtimes S_n$ acts naturally on $Z(r, n)$ by the action defined in §2.3. Let $\operatorname{sign} : G_n \to \{\pm 1\}$ be the character which sends $(\epsilon_1, \cdots, \epsilon_n; \sigma)$ to $\epsilon_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot \epsilon_n \cdot \operatorname{sign}(\sigma)$. The idempotent $\mathrm{Alt} = \mathrm{Alt}_n := (1/|G_n|) \sum_{g \in G_n} \operatorname{sign}(g) g$ in the group ring $\mathbb{Q}[G_n]$ is called the alternating projector. For a $\mathbb{Q}[G_n]$-module M, the submodule

$$M^{\mathrm{alt}} = \{\alpha \in M \mid \mathrm{Alt}\, \alpha = \alpha\} = \mathrm{Alt}(M)$$

is called the alternating part of M. We set $\mathcal{Z}^r(\operatorname{Spec} k, n) = Z(r, n)^{\mathrm{alt}}$. We have the induced map $\partial : \mathcal{Z}^r(\operatorname{Spec} k, n) \to \mathcal{Z}^r(\operatorname{Spec} k, n-1)$, and thus obtain a homological complex $\mathcal{Z}^r(\operatorname{Spec} k, \bullet)$ with differential ∂, called the cycle complex of k.

For convenience let $\mathcal{Z}^r(\operatorname{Spec} k, n) = 0$ if $n < 0$. We set $N_r^i = \mathcal{Z}^r(\operatorname{Spec} k, 2r - i)$ for $r \geq 0$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (we drop the field k from notation). Then we have a cohomological complex $N_r^\bullet$ for $r \geq 0$ with $N_0 = \mathbb{Q}$. (In [3], the same object is denoted $N(r)^\bullet$; we have employed another notation to avoid confusion with Tate twist.)

Product of cycles induces a map of complexes $\times : Z(r, n) \otimes Z(s, m) \to Z(r+s, n+m)$, $z \otimes w \mapsto z \times w$. This induces a map of complexes on alternating parts

$$\mathcal{Z}^r(\operatorname{Spec} k, n) \otimes \mathcal{Z}^s(\operatorname{Spec} k, m) \to \mathcal{Z}^{r+s}(\operatorname{Spec} k, n+m)$$

given by $z \otimes w \mapsto z \cdot w = \mathrm{Alt}(z \times w)$. One thus has an associative product map,

$$N_r^i \otimes N_s^j \to N_{r+s}^{i+j}, \qquad z \otimes w \mapsto z \cdot w,$$

which is compatible with differential. One verifies that the product is graded-commutative: $w \cdot z = (-1)^{ij} z \cdot w$ for $z \in N_r^i$ and $w \in N_s^j$.

Let $N = \oplus_{r\geq 0} N_r$, and $N^i = \oplus_{r\geq 0} N_r^i$; this is a differential graded algebra (DGA) over $\mathbb{Q}$, namely N is an associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$, with the structure of a complex of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces, and the product and differential are compatible. Thus N is a *graded DGA* over $\mathbb{Q}$, with graded-commutative product, by which we mean:

(i) $N = \oplus_i N^i$ is a DGA over $\mathbb{Q}$.

(ii) There is a direct sum decomposition $N = \oplus_{r\geq 0} N_r$ into subcomplexes, which is compatible with product: $N_r \cdot N_s \subset N_{r+s}$.

(iii) The product is graded-commutative (with respect to the degree i in (i), not the degree r in (ii)).

In addition, one has $N_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ and $1 \in N_0$ is the unit for the product. Thus the projection $\epsilon : N \to N_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ is an augmentation, namely it is a map of DGA's and the composition with the unit map $\mathbb{Q} \to N$ is the identity.

5.2. **The complexes $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Q}$ or the complex of sheaves $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ of C^∞-differential forms on the simplicial scheme $P^\bullet$. Let $AC_i(\square^n, \mathcal{F})$ be the subspace of $AC^{n-i}(\mathcal{F})$ defined in (3.3.13). We set

$$\mathcal{AC}_i(\square^n, \mathcal{F}) = AC_i(\square^n, \mathcal{F})^{\mathrm{alt}}$$

and the associates simple complexes of $\mathcal{AC}_\bullet(\square^\bullet, \mathcal{F})$ is denoted by $\mathcal{AC}_\bullet(\mathcal{F})$. Here its differential D is defined as (3.3.15). We introduce the product structures on $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ as follows. Using product of semi-algebraic chains, we defines a map

$$AC_p(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^q) \otimes AC_r(P^m, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^s) \to AC_{p+r}(P^{n+m}, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^{q+s}),$$
$$\gamma a \otimes \gamma' a' \mapsto (\gamma a) \cdot (\gamma' a') = (-1)^{pm+mq+qr}(\gamma \times \gamma')(a \wedge a'),$$

Then we have

$$D(\gamma \cdot \gamma') = (D\gamma) \cdot \gamma' + (-1)^k \gamma \cdot (D\gamma')$$

for $\gamma \in AC^k(\mathcal{A})$ and $\gamma' \in AC^l(\mathcal{A})$. In other words, the product is a map of double complexes. Passing to the alternating part, we have a map

$$\mathcal{AC}^i(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{AC}^j(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{AC}^{i+j}(\mathcal{A}).$$

One verifies that this gives an associative, graded-commutative product on $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$. In this way, the complex $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ have the structures of a DGA's over $\mathbb{C}$ with graded-commutative products. By the natural inclusion $\mathcal{AC}(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathcal{AC}(\mathcal{A})$ becomes a DGA over $\mathbb{Q}$. Note that $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ are *not* graded DGA's. The image of the element $1 \in \mathbb{Q} = AC_0(\square^0, \mathbb{Q})$ in $\mathcal{AC}(\mathbb{Q})$ is the unit in $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$ and defines the unit map $\mathbb{Q} \to \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$.

By restricting the map (4.1.4) to the alternating part, we have a map

$$I = \sum_{n\geq 0} I_n : \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{C}. \tag{5.2.1}$$

It is a homomorphism of complexes by the generalized Cauchy formula (Theorem 4.3). We consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{u\otimes 1_{\mathbb{C}}} & \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota} \\ \mathbb{C} & \underset{I}{\leftarrow} & \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathcal{A}). \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that this diagram is commutative.

Proposition 5.1. (1) *The unit map $u : \mathbb{Q} \to \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

(2) *The map $\iota : \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ induced by the natural inclusion is a quasi-isomorphism. As a consequence, the map I induces a quasi-isomorphism $I : \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$.*

(3) *The map I is a homomorphism of DGA's. In other words, the map I is an augmentation.*

Proof. (1) Since $(P^n, \mathbf{D}) = (\mathbb{P}^1, \{1\})^n$ (the n-fold self product), the Künneth formula tells us

$$H_*(C_\bullet(\square^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathbb{Q})) = H_*(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathbb{Q}) = H_*(\mathbb{P}^1, \{1\}; \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n}.$$

It follows that $H_i(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $i \neq 2n$, and $H_{2n}(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[P^n]$, where $[P^n]$ denotes the image the orientation class $[P^n] \in H_{2n}(P^n; \mathbb{Q})$. Since $[P^n]$ is fixed by all $g \in G_n$, the alternating part $H_*(P^n, \mathbf{D})^{alt}$ is zero for $n > 0$. By Proposition 2.11 , the complex $AC_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D})$ is quasi-isomorphic to $C_\bullet(P^n, \mathbf{D})$. It follows that $\mathcal{AC}_\bullet(\square^n, \mathbb{Q})$ is acyclic for $n > 0$. For $n = 0$, the natural map $u : \mathbb{Q} \to \mathcal{AC}_\bullet(\square^0, \mathbb{Q})$ is an identity. Therefore the unit map $u : \mathbb{Q} \to \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

(2) Let K be a triangulation of P^n and $i : C_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathbb{C}) \to C_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^\bullet)$ be the natural homomorphism of complexes. The p-th skeleton modulo the $(p-1)$-skeleton of the above homomorphism i is a sum of the map

$$\mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{A}^\bullet(\sigma)$$

over all p-simplexes σ of K. In the set of the neighborhoods of σ, the subset of neighborhoods homotopic to the contractible set $|\sigma|$ is cofinal, and it follows that the cone of the above complex is acyclic by Poincare lemma. As a consequence, the map i is a quasi-isomorphism. By moving lemma (Proposition 2.11), the homomorphism

$$i : AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathbb{C}) \to AC_\bullet(K, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^\bullet)$$

is also a quasi-isomorphism. By taking the alternating part, we have the proposition.

(3) Let $\gamma \cdot a$ and $\gamma' \cdot a'$ be elements in $AC_{n+q}(P^n, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^q)$ and $AC_{m+s}(P^m, \mathbf{D}; \mathcal{A}^s)$, respectively. We compute the product $I_n(\gamma \cdot a) I_m(\gamma' \cdot a')$.

$$\begin{aligned} I_n(\gamma \cdot a) I_m(\gamma' \cdot a') &= (-1)^\epsilon \int_\gamma a \wedge \omega_n \cdot \int_{\gamma'} a' \wedge \omega_m \\ &= (-1)^{\epsilon + ns} \int_{\gamma \times \gamma'} a \wedge a' \wedge \omega_n \wedge \omega_m. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2.2}$$

The exponent for sign is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon + ns &= \frac{(n+q)(n+q-1)}{2} + \frac{(m+s)(m+s-1)}{2} + ns \\ &= \frac{(n+m+q+s)(n+m+q+s-1)}{2} - nm - mq - qs. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (5.2.2) is equal to

$$(-1)^{nm+mq+qs} I_{n+m}((\gamma \times \gamma') \cdot a \wedge a') = I_{n+m}((\gamma \cdot a) \cdot (\gamma' \cdot a')).$$

Thus the map I is an augmentation. □

5.3. **The homomorphism $N \to \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$ and augmentation maps.** Assume now k is a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. We have a map

$$\alpha : \ Z(r,n) \to AC_{2n-2r}(\square^n, \mathbb{Q})$$

obtained by triangulating algebraic cycles. Since the face map defined in §3 coincides with that for the cycle complex $Z(r,\bullet)$, the map α is compatible with the map ∂ ([7], p.371), and the map α is a homomorphism of complexes for a fixed r. On the alternating part, we have the induced map of complexes $\alpha : N_r^i \to \mathcal{AC}_{2r-2i}(\square^{2r-i}, \mathbb{Q})$, and taking the sum over r we obtain a map of DGA's

$$\alpha : N \to \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})\,.$$

For a subvariety V representing an element in $N_r^0 = Z(r,2r)^{alt}$, the integral $\int_V \omega_{2r}$ is zero since the form is of type $(2r,0)$. Therefore we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let I be the map define in (5.2.1). The composite $I\alpha : N \to \mathbb{C}$ is equal to the augmentation map ϵ.*

5.3.1. *The complex $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$ and Tate Hodge structures.* We recall the definition of the Tate Hodge structure. For an integer r, let $\mathbb{Q}(r) = (2\pi i)^r\mathbb{Q}$ with the weight filtration W defined by $\mathbb{Q}(r) = W_{-2r} \supset W_{-2r-1} = 0$, and let $\mathbb{C}(r) = \mathbb{C}$ with the Hodge filtration F defined by $\mathbb{C}(r) = F^{-r} \supset F^{-r+1} = 0$. We define the mixed Tate Hodge structure $\mathbb{Q}_{Hg}(r)$ of weight $-2r$ by the $\mathbb{Q}$-mixed Hodge structure $(\mathbb{Q}(r), \mathbb{C}(r), F, W)$ where the comparison map $c : \mathbb{Q}(r) \to \mathbb{C}(r)$ is the inclusion. (For the definition of $\mathbb{Q}$-mixed Hodge structure, see [5].) This is a Hodge structure of type $(-r,-r)$. For a $\mathbb{Q}$ vector space H (resp. a mixed Hodge structure H_{Hg}), $H \otimes \mathbb{Q}(r)$ (resp. $H_{Hg} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{Hg}(r)$) is denoted by $H(r)$ (resp. $H_{Hg}(r)$).

A (finite dimensional) mixed Hodge structure is called a mixed Tate Hodge structure if the weight graded quotients are isomorphic to direct sums of Tate Hodge structures. An inductive limit of mixed Tate Hodge structure is called a ind-mixed Tate Hodge structure.

5.4. **The bar complex.** Let M (resp. L) be a complex which is a differential left N-module (resp. right N-module). We recall the definition of the bar complex $B(L,N,M)$.

Let $N_+ = \oplus_{r>0} N_r$. As a module, $B(L,N,M)$ is equal to $L \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{s\geq 0}(\otimes^s N_+)\right) \otimes M$, with the convention $(\otimes^s N_+) = \mathbb{Q}$ for $s = 0$. An element $l \otimes (a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_s) \otimes m$ of $L \otimes (\otimes^s N_+) \otimes M$ is written as $l[a_1|\cdots|a_s]m$ (for $s = 0$, we write $l[\ ]m$ for $l \otimes 1 \otimes m$ in $L \otimes \mathbb{Q} \otimes M$).

The internal differential d_I is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &d_I(l[a_1|\cdots|a_s]m) \\ &= dl[a_1|\cdots|a_s]m \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{s}(-1)^i Jl[Ja_1|\cdots|Ja_{i-1}|da_i|\cdots|a_s]m + (-1)^{s+1}Jl[Ja_1|\cdots|Ja_s]dm \end{aligned}$$

where $Ja = (-1)^{\deg a} a$. The external differential d_E is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & d_E(l[a_1|\cdots|a_s]m) \\ & = -(Jl)a_1[a_2|\cdots|a_s]m \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1}(-1)^{i+1} Jl[Ja_1|\cdots|(Ja_i)a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_s]m \\ & + (-1)^{s+1} Jl[Ja_1|\cdots|Ja_{s-1}](Ja_s)m. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $d_I d_E + d_E d_I = 0$ and the map $d_E + d_I$ defines a differential on $B(L, N, M)$. The degree of an element $l[a_1|\cdots|a_s]m$ is defined by $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \deg a_i + \deg l + \deg m - s$.

If $L = \mathbb{Q}$ and the right N-module structure is given by the augmentation ϵ, the complex $B(L, N, M)$ is denoted by $B(N, M)$ and omit the first factor "$1\otimes$". If $L = M = \mathbb{Q}$ with the N-module structure given by the augmentation ϵ, we set

$$\mathcal{B} := B(\mathbb{Q}, N, \mathbb{Q}).$$

we omit the first and the last tensor factor "$1\otimes$" and "$\otimes 1$" for an element in $\mathcal{B}$.

The complex $\mathcal{B}$ is graded by non-negative integers as a complex, $\mathcal{B} = \oplus_{r\geq 0}\mathcal{B}_r$, where $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ and, for $r > 0$,

$$\mathcal{B}_r = \oplus_{r_1+\cdots+r_s=r,\, r_i>0} N_{r_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{r_s}.$$

Let $\Delta : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ be the map given by

$$\Delta([a_1|\cdots|a_s]) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} \big([a_1|\cdots|a_i]\big) \otimes \big([a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_s]\big).$$

and $e : \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{Q}$ be the projection to B_0 . These are maps of complexes, and they satisfy coassociativity $(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta = (1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ and counitarity $(1 \otimes e)\Delta = (e \otimes 1)\Delta = id$, in other words Δ is a coproduct on $\mathcal{B}$ with counit e. In addition, the shuffle product (see [Reference, e.g., Eilenberg-MacLane, Hain]) makes $\mathcal{B}$ a DG algebra with unit $\mathbb{Q} = \mathcal{B}_0 \subset B$. The shuffle product is graded-commutative. Further, the maps Δ and e are compatible with product and unit. We summarize:

(1) $\mathcal{B} = \oplus_i \mathcal{B}_i$ is a DG bi-algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$. (It follows that $\mathcal{B}$ is a DG Hopf algebra, since it is a fact that antipode exists for a graded bi-algebra.)
(2) $B = \oplus_{r\geq 0}\mathcal{B}_r$ is a direct sum decomposition to subcomplexes, and product, unit, coproduct and counit are compatible with this decomposition.
(3) The product is graded-commutative with respect to the grading i.

With due caution one may say that $\mathcal{B}$ is a "graded" DG Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$, with graded-commutative product; the first "grading" refers to r, and the second grading refers to i, while graded-commutativity of product is with respect to the grading i (the product is neither graded- commutative or commutative with respect to r). We recall that graded Hopf algebra in the literature means a graded Hopf algebra with graded-commutative product, so our B is a graded Hopf algebra in this sense with respect to the grading i, but is not one with respect to the "grading" r.

Let $\mathcal{H} := H^0(\mathcal{B})$. The product, unit, coproduct, counit on $\mathcal{B}$ induce the corresponding maps on $\mathcal{H}$, hence $\mathcal{H}$ is a "graded" Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ in the following sense:

(1) $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$.

(2) With $\mathcal{H}_r := H^0(\mathcal{B}_r)$, one has $\mathcal{H} = \oplus_{r\geq 0}\mathcal{H}_r$ a direct sum decomposition to subspaces; the product, unit, coproduct and counit are compatible with this decomposition. (The product is neither graded-commutative or commutative, thus $\mathcal{H}$ is not a graded Hopf algebra in the usual sense.)

We also have the coproduct map $\Delta : H^0(B(\mathbb{Q}, N, M)) \to \mathcal{H} \otimes H^0(B(\mathbb{Q}, N, M))$ obtained from the homomorphism of complexes $\Delta : B(\mathbb{Q}, N, M) \to \mathcal{B} \otimes B(\mathbb{Q}, N, M)$ given by

$$\Delta([a_1|\cdots|a_s]m) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} \big([a_1|\cdots|a_i]\big) \otimes \big([a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_s]m\big).$$

We define the category of mixed Tate motives after Bloch-Kriz [3].

Definition 5.3 (Graded $\mathcal{H}$-comodules, mixed Tate motives, [3]). (1) *Let $V = \oplus_i V_i$ be a graded vector space (to be precise, a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space equipped with a grading by integers i). A linear map*

$$\Delta_V : V \to V \otimes \mathcal{H}$$

is called a graded coaction of $\mathcal{H}$ if the following conditions hold.
(a) $\Delta_V(V_i) \subset \oplus_{p+q=i} V_p \otimes \mathcal{H}_q$.
(b) *(Coassociativity) The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\Delta_V} & V \otimes \mathcal{H} \\ \Delta_V \downarrow & & \downarrow id_V \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{H}} \\ V \otimes \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_V \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}}} & V \otimes \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \end{array}$$

(c) *(Counitarity) The composite*

$$V \to V \otimes \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{id_V \otimes e} V,$$

is the identity map, where e is the counit of $\mathcal{H}$.
A graded vector space V with a graded coaction Δ_V of $\mathcal{H}$ is called a graded right comodule over $\mathcal{H}$. For graded right comodules V, W over $\mathcal{H}$, a linear map $V \to W$ is called a homomorphism of graded right comodules over $\mathcal{H}$ if it preserves the gradings and the coactions of $\mathcal{H}$. The category of graded right comodules over $\mathcal{H}$ is denoted by $(\mathrm{Com}^{gr}_{\mathcal{H}})$.
(2) *The category of mixed Tate motives $(MTM) = (MTM_{\mathbf{k}})$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{k})$ is defined as the category $(\mathrm{Com}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{\mathcal{H}})$ of graded right comodules over $\mathcal{H}$.*

5.5. **Mixed Hodge structure $\mathcal{H}_{Hg}$.** In this subsection, we define a mixed Hodge structure $\mathcal{H}_{Hg}$ with a left coaction of $\mathcal{H}$. Let

$$I(r) : \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})(r) \to \mathbb{C}(r)$$

be the map defined by $I(r)(\gamma\cdot(2\pi i)^r) = I(\gamma)\cdot(2\pi i)^r$ obtained by the homomorphism (5.2.1). We define the bar complexes $\mathcal{B}_B$ and $\mathcal{B}_{dR}$ by

$$\mathcal{B}_B = \bigoplus_r \bigoplus_{r_1+\cdots+r_s=r, r_i>0} N_{r_1} \otimes N_{r_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{r_s} \otimes \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})(-r),$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_{dR} = \bigoplus_r \bigoplus_{r_1+\cdots+r_s=r, r_i>0} N_{r_1} \otimes N_{r_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{r_s} \otimes \mathbb{C}(-r),$$

Here we interpret $N_{r_1} \otimes N_{r_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{r_s}$ as $\mathbb{Q}$ if $s = 0$.

The differentials of $\mathcal{B}_B$ and $\mathcal{B}_{dR}$ are defined by the same formula in §5.4, where the left multiplications are given by

$$N_r \otimes \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})(r') \to \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})(r+r') : z \otimes \gamma(2\pi i)^{r'} \mapsto (z \cdot \gamma) \cdot (2\pi i)^{r'+r},$$

$$N_r \otimes \mathbb{C}(r') \to \mathbb{C}(r+r') : \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } r \neq 0 \\ a \otimes b \cdot (2\pi i)^{r'} \mapsto ab(2\pi i)^{r+r'} & \text{for } r = 0. \end{cases}$$

Definition 5.4. *We define the Betti part $\mathcal{H}_B$ and the de Rham part $\mathcal{H}_{dR}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{Hg}$ by $\mathcal{H}_B = H^0(\mathcal{B}_B)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{dR} = H^0(\mathcal{B}_{dR})$.*

For $r = r_1 + \cdots r_s$, let $c_{r_1,\ldots,r_s}$ be the map defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{id} \otimes I(-r) : & N_{r_1} \otimes N_{r_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{r_s} \otimes \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})(-r) \\ & \to N_{r_1} \otimes N_{r_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{r_s} \otimes \mathbb{C}(-r) \end{aligned}$$

and let c be the sum

$$c := \sum_{r_1,\ldots,r_s > 0} c_{r_1,\ldots,r_s} : \mathcal{B}_B \to \mathcal{B}_{dR}$$

By Lemma 5.2, c is a homomorphism of complexes. By Proposition 5.1 (2) the map c induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$c : \mathcal{B}_B \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{B}_{dR}.$$

and taking cohomologies we have the isomorphism

$$c : \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{H}_{dR}.$$

We introduce the weight filtration $W_\bullet$ on $\mathcal{B}_B$ and $\mathcal{B}_{dR}$ by

$$W_n\mathcal{B}_B = \bigoplus_{2r \leq n} \bigoplus_{\substack{r_1+\cdots+r_s=r \\ r_i>0}} N_{r_1} \otimes N_{r_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{r_s} \otimes \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})(-r),$$

$$W_n\mathcal{B}_{dR} = \bigoplus_{2r \leq n} \bigoplus_{\substack{r_1+\cdots+r_s=r \\ r_i>0}} N_{r_1} \otimes N_{r_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{r_s} \otimes \mathbb{C}(-r)$$

and the Hodge filtration $F^\bullet$ on $\mathcal{B}_{dR}$ by

$$F^p\mathcal{B}_{dR} = \bigoplus_{r \geq p} \bigoplus_{\substack{r_1+\cdots+r_s=r \\ r_i>0}} N_{r_1} \otimes N_{r_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{r_s} \otimes \mathbb{C}(-r).$$

By Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.1 (2), the map c induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$c : W_n\mathcal{B}_B \otimes \mathbb{C} \to W_n\mathcal{B}_{dR} \tag{5.5.3}$$

The weight and Hodge filtrations on $\mathcal{B}_B$ and $\mathcal{B}_{dR}$ induces those on $\mathcal{H}_B$ and $\mathcal{H}_{dR}$.

Proposition 5.5. (1) *We have a canonical isomorphism of vector spaces*

$$Gr^W_{2r}\mathcal{H}_B \to \mathcal{H}_r \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-r)$$

(2) *Via the isomorphism $c : \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{H}_{dR}$, the pair of filtered vector spaces $\mathcal{H}_{Hg} = (\mathcal{H}_B, \mathcal{H}_{dR}, W, F)$ becomes a ind-mixed Tate Hodge structure.*

Proof. (1) We consider the spectral sequences for the filtration W:

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^{p+q}(Gr_{-p}^W \mathcal{B}_B) \Rightarrow E^{p+q} = H^{p+q}(\mathcal{B}_B)$$
$$'E_1^{p,q} = H^{p+q}(Gr_{-p}^W \mathcal{B}_{dR}) \Rightarrow {}'E^{p+q} = H^{p+q}(\mathcal{B}_{dR}).$$

Since the morphism of complexes $\mathcal{B}_B \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{B}_{dR}$ is a filtered quasi-isomorphism, the morphism of spectral sequences

$$E_*^{*,*} \otimes \mathbf{C} \to {}'E_*^{*,*}$$

is an isomorphism. Since the complex $\mathcal{B}_{dR}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum on r of the subcomplexes

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{r_1+\cdots+r_s=r \\ r_i>0}} N_{r_1} \otimes N_{r_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes N_{r_s} \otimes \mathbb{C}(-r),$$

the spectral sequence ${}'E_*^{*,*}$ degenerates at E_1-term and as a consequence, $E_*^{*,*}$ also degenerates at E_1-term. Therefore the vector space $Gr_{2r}^W H^0(\mathcal{B}_B)$ is canonically isomorphic to $H^0(Gr_{2r}^W \mathcal{B}_B)$. One sees that the quotient $Gr_{2r}^W \mathcal{B}_B$ is the tensor product

$$\mathcal{B}_r \otimes \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})(-r)$$

as a complex. By Proposition 5.1 (1) we have

$$H^0(Gr_{2r}^W \mathcal{B}_B) = H^0(\mathcal{B}_r) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q}))(-r) = \mathcal{H}_r(-r).$$

(2) We need to show the following.

(1) The filtrations F and $\overline{F}$ on $Gr_{2r}^W \mathcal{H}_{dR}$ are $2r$-opposite ([5], (1.2.3)).
(2) $(F^p \cap \overline{F^{2r-p}}) Gr_{2r}^W \mathcal{H}_{dR} = 0$ for $p \neq r$.

We denote $Gr_{2r}^W \mathcal{H}_{dR} = A$. We have

$$F^p(A) = \begin{cases} A & p \leq r \\ 0 & p > r \end{cases}$$

By taking complex conjugate, a similar fact holds for $\overline{F^p}(A)$. The assertions (1) and (2) follow from this.

□

5.6. **Coaction of $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{Hg}$ and a Hodge realization functor.** We have a homomorphism of complexes

$$\Delta_B : \mathcal{B}_B \to \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \left(\mathcal{B}_r \otimes \mathcal{B}_B(-r) \right)$$

preserving the filtration $W_\bullet$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_B :& [a_1|\cdots|a_s]b \otimes (2\pi i)^{-(r_1+\cdots+r_s)} \\ \mapsto & \sum_i \left([a_1|\cdots|a_i] \right) \otimes \left([a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_s]b \otimes (2\pi i)^{-(r_{i+1}+\cdots+r_s)} \right) \otimes (2\pi i)^{-(r_1+\cdots+r_i)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_i \in N_{r_i}\ (i = 1, \ldots, s), \quad b \in \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q}).$$

The homomorphism Δ_B induces the homomorphism of cohomologies

$$\Delta_B : \mathcal{H}_B \to \bigoplus_{r\geq 0}\Big(\mathcal{H}_r \otimes \mathcal{H}_B(-r)\Big).$$

As for the de Rham part, we have a similar map

$$\Delta_{dR} : \mathcal{H}_{dR} \to \bigoplus_{r\geq 0}\Big(\mathcal{H}_r \otimes \mathcal{H}_{dR}(-r)\Big),$$

preserving the filtration $W_\bullet$ and $F^\bullet$. It is obvious that these homomorphisms (Δ_B, Δ_{dR}) give the coproduct homomorphism of ind-mixed Tate Hodge structures:

$$\Delta_{Hg} : \mathcal{H}_{Hg} \to \bigoplus_{r\geq 0}\Big(\mathcal{H}_r \otimes \mathcal{H}_{Hg}(-r)\Big). \tag{5.6.4}$$

We define the Hodge realization functor Φ from the category $(\mathrm{Com}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{\mathcal{H}})$ of graded $\mathcal{H}$-comodules to the category MTH of mixed Tate Hodge structure as follows. Let $V = \oplus_i V_i$ be a graded comodule over $\mathcal{H}$ given by the comodule structure

$$\Delta_V : V_i \to \oplus_{j+k=i} V_j \otimes \mathcal{H}_k.$$

Using the comodule structure Δ_V and the coproduct homomorphism Δ_{Hg} of (5.6.4), we define homomorphisms of ind-mixed Hodge structures:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_V \otimes \mathrm{id} : V_i \otimes \mathcal{H}_{Hg}(-i)& \\
&\to \Big(\bigoplus_k V_k \otimes \mathcal{H}_{i-k}\Big) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{Hg}(-i) \\
&= \bigoplus_k V_k \otimes \mathcal{H}_{i-k} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{Hg}(-i),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{Hg} : V_i \otimes \mathcal{H}_{Hg}(-i)& \\
&\to V_i \otimes \Big(\bigoplus_j \mathcal{H}_j \otimes \mathcal{H}_{Hg}(-j)\Big) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{Hg}(-i) \\
&= \bigoplus_j \Big(V_i \otimes \mathcal{H}_j \otimes \mathcal{H}_{Hg}(-j-i)\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

By taking direct sum, we have a map

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_V \otimes \mathrm{id} - \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{Hg} : \bigoplus_i V_i \otimes \mathcal{H}_{Hg}(-i)& \\
&\to \bigoplus_{i.j} \Big(V_i \otimes \mathcal{H}_j \otimes \mathcal{H}_{Hg}(-j-i)\Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6.5}$$

Definition 5.6 (Realization functor, functor ω_{gr})**.** (1) *We define the functor* Φ *from the category* $(\mathrm{Com}^{gr}_{\mathcal{H}})$ *of graded* $\mathcal{H}$*-comodules to that of mixed Tate Hodge structures* (MTH) *by*

$$\Phi(V) = \ker(\Delta_V \otimes \mathrm{id} - \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{Hg})$$

It is called the realization functor.

(2) *Let* $\mathbf{V}$ *be a mixed Tate Hodge structure. We define a graded module* $\omega_{\mathrm{gr}}(\mathbf{V})$ *by* $\oplus_i \omega_{\mathrm{gr}}(\mathbf{V})_i$ *where*

$$\omega_{\mathrm{gr}}(V)_i = Hom_{MTH}(\mathbf{Q}(-i), Gr_{2i}^W(\mathbf{V})).$$

Then ω *becomes an exact functor from the category* (MTH) *of mixed Tate Hodge structures to the category* $(\mathrm{Vect}^{\mathrm{gr}})$ *of finite dimensional graded vector spaces. The category of ind-mixed Tate Hodge structure is denoted by* $(\mathrm{ind}-MTH)$ *and that of ind-finite dimensional graded vector space is denoted by* $(\mathrm{ind}-\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{gr})$*. The functor* ω_{gr} *is extended to the functor from* $(\mathrm{ind}-MTH)$ *to* $(\mathrm{ind}-\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{gr})$*.*

Proposition 5.7. (1) *For objects* M, N *in* (MTH)*, we have*

$$\omega_{gr}(M \otimes N) = \omega_{gr}(M) \otimes \omega_{gr}(N).$$

(2) *We have an equality*

$$\omega_{gr}(\mathcal{H}_{Hg}) = \mathcal{H}.$$

This equality is compatible with the left coaction of $\mathcal{H}$*.*

(3) *The following diagram of functor is commutative, where the functor* φ *is obtained by forgetting* $\mathcal{H}$*-comodule structures. As a consequence, the functor* Φ *is an exact functor.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathrm{Com}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathrm{gr}}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & (MTH) \\ & \varphi \searrow & \downarrow \omega_{\mathrm{gr}} \\ & & (\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{gr}}) \end{array}$$

Proof. (1) The proof will be left to the reader.

(2) By the definition of ω_{gr}, it is a consequence of Proposition 5.5 (1).

(3) Let V be a graded right $\mathcal{H}$-comodule. By the definition of Φ, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{gr}(\Phi(V)) &= \omega_{gr}(\ker(\Delta_V \otimes \mathrm{id} - \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{Hg})) \\ &\xrightarrow{\simeq} \ker(\omega_{gr}(\Delta_V \otimes \mathrm{id} - \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{Hg})) \end{aligned}$$

since the functor ω_{gr} is an exact functor ([5], Theorem(1.2.10) (iv)).

We compute the source of the homomorphism $\omega_{gr}(\Delta_V \otimes \mathrm{id} - \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{Hg})$, which is identified with

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{gr}\Big(\bigoplus_i V_i \otimes \mathcal{H}_{Hg}(-i)\Big) &= \omega_{gr}\Big(\bigoplus_i V_i \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-i)\Big) \otimes \omega_{gr}(\mathcal{H}_{Hg}) \\ &= V \otimes \mathcal{H} \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the equality of (2) and the equality

$$\omega_{gr}\Big(\bigoplus_i V_i \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-i)\Big) = V.$$

As for the target, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega_{gr}\Big(\bigoplus_{i.j}\Big(V_i\otimes\mathcal{H}_j\otimes\mathcal{H}_{Hg}(-j-i)\Big)\Big)\\ =&V\otimes\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}.\end{aligned}$$

We can see that the homomorphism $\omega_{gr}(\Delta_V\otimes\mathrm{id}-\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta_{Hg})$ is identified with the map:

$$\Delta_V\otimes\mathrm{id}-\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}: V\otimes\mathcal{H}\to V\otimes\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}.$$

Therefore its kernel is naturally isomorphic to V since the sequence

$$0\to V\xrightarrow{\Delta_V} V\otimes\mathcal{H}\xrightarrow{\Delta_V\otimes\mathrm{id}-\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}} V\otimes\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}$$

is exact. In other words, the cotensor product $V\otimes^{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{H}$ of V and $\mathcal{H}$ over $\mathcal{H}$, is isomorphic to V. Thus we have the statement (3). □

Remark 5.8. *The functor Φ is isomorphic to the functor obtained by the map $Real_{TMH}$ defined in* [3]. *The proof with slightly different formulation will be given in the sequel paper.*

5.7. **The case of dilogarithm.** Using the above notations, we describe the Hodge realization of the comodule over $\mathcal{H}$ associated to dilogarithm functions after Bloch-Kriz.

We assume $\mathbf{k}\subset\mathbb{C}$ and $a\in\mathbf{k}^\times-\{1\}$. We define elements $\rho_1(a)\in N_1^1$ and $\rho_2\in N_2^1$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1(a)&=-\{(1-a)\in\mathbb{P}^1\}^{\mathrm{alt}}\\ \rho_2(a)&=\{(x_1,1-x_1,1-\frac{a}{x_1})\in(\mathbb{P}^1)^3\}^{\mathrm{alt}}\end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following relations:

$$\partial\rho_2(a)=-\rho_1(1-a)\cdot\rho_1(a),\quad \partial\rho_1(a)=0.$$

Therefore the elements $Li_1(a), Li_2(a)$ defined as follows are closed elements in $\mathcal{B}$, and thus they define elements in $\mathcal{H}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Li}_2(a)&=[\rho_2]-[\rho_1(1-a)|\rho_1(a)],\\ \mathbf{Li}_1(a)&=[\rho_1(a)].\end{aligned}$$

Let V be a graded vector space generated by e_0,e_{-1},e_{-2} with $V_i=e_i\mathbf{Q}$ and define $\Delta_V: V\to V\otimes\mathcal{H}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_V(e_0)&=e_0\otimes 1+e_{-1}\otimes[\mathbf{Li}_1(a)]+e_{-2}\otimes[\mathbf{Li}_2(a)]\\ \Delta_V(e_{-1})&=e_{-1}\otimes 1+e_{-2}\otimes[\mathbf{Li}_1(1-a)]\\ \Delta_V(e_{-2})&=e_{-2}\otimes 1.\end{aligned}$$

Assume that a is contained in $\mathbb{R}$ and assume that $0<a<1$. We consider elements $\eta_1(0),\eta_2(1),\eta_2(0)$ in $\mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$ defined by

$$\eta_1(0)=\{(1-t_0)\in\mathbb{P}^1\mid 0<t_0<a\}$$

There exist chains $\eta_2(1)$, $\eta_2(0)$ which satisfy the equalities

$$\delta\eta_2(1)=-\rho_2(a),\ \delta\eta_2(0)=\partial\eta_2(1)-(a)\cdot\eta_1(0),\ \partial\eta_1(0)=0,\ \partial\eta_2(0)=0.$$

Explicitly,

$$\eta_2(1) = \{(x_1, 1-x_1, 1-\frac{t_1}{x_1}) |\, x_1 \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} - \{1\},\, 0 < t_1 < a\}$$

and

$$\eta_2(0) = \{(t_1, 1-t_0) |\, 0 < t_0 < t_1 < a\}$$

with suitable orientations. Let $\xi_1(a) = \eta_1(0)$, and $\xi_2(a) \in \mathcal{AC}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q})$ be the chain $\eta_2(1)+\eta_2(0)$.

Using these relations, we have the following closed elements, which define elements in cohomologies $\mathcal{H}_B$.

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_2 &= \mathbf{Li}_2(a) \otimes (2\pi i)^0 + \mathbf{Li}_1(1-a) \otimes \xi_1(a)(2\pi i)^1 + 1 \otimes \xi_2(a)(2\pi i)^2 \in \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathbb{Q}(2),\\
Z_1 &= \mathbf{Li}_1(a) \otimes (2\pi i)^0 + 1 \otimes \xi_1(a)(2\pi i)^1 \in \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathbb{Q}(1),\\
Z_1' &= \mathbf{Li}_1(1-a) \otimes (2\pi i)^0 + 1 \otimes \xi_1(1-a)(2\pi i)^1 \in \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathbb{Q}(1),\\
Z_0 &= 1 \otimes (2\pi i)^0 \in \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathbb{Q}(0),
\end{aligned}$$

The images of Z_i under the comparison map $\mathcal{B}_B \to \mathcal{B}_{dR}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
c(Z_2) &= \mathbf{Li}_2(a) \otimes 1 + \mathbf{Li}_1(1-a) \otimes Li_1(a) + 1 \otimes Li_2(a)\\
c(Z_1) &= \mathbf{Li}_1(a) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Li_1(a)\\
c(Z_1') &= \mathbf{Li}_1(1-a) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Li_1(1-a)\\
c(Z_0) &= 1 \otimes 1
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7.6}$$

Then elements

$$\begin{aligned}
v_0 &= e_0 \otimes Z_0 + e_{-1} \otimes Z_1 + e_{-2} \otimes Z_2\\
v_{-1} &= (e_{-1} \otimes Z_0 + e_{-2} \otimes Z_1')2\pi i\\
v_{-2} &= (e_{-2} \otimes Z_0)(2\pi i)^2
\end{aligned}$$

forms a base of the the Betti part of the $\Phi(V)$ which is the kernel of the map

$$\Delta_V \otimes \mathrm{id} - \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{Hg} : \bigoplus_i V_i \otimes \mathcal{H}_{Hg}(-i) \to \bigoplus_{i,j} V_i \otimes \mathcal{H}_j \otimes \mathcal{H}_{Hg}(-i-j)$$

By the relation (5.7.6), the images of v_0, v_1, v_2 under the comparison map c are equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
c(v_0) =& e_0 \otimes 1 + e_{-1} \otimes c(Z_1) + e_{-2} \otimes c(Z_2),\\
c(v_{-1}) =& 2\pi i(e_{-1} \otimes 1 + e_{-2} \otimes c(Z_1')),\\
c(v_{-2}) =& (2\pi i)^2(e_{-2} \otimes 1)
\end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned}
w_0 &= e_0 \otimes 1 + e_{-1} \otimes \mathbf{Li}_1(a) + e_{-2} \otimes \mathbf{Li}_2(a)\\
w_{-1} &= e_{-1} \otimes 1 + e_{-2} \otimes \mathbf{Li}_1(1-a)\\
w_{-2} &= e_{-2} \otimes 1
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c(v_0) &= w_0 + Li_1(a)w_{-1} + Li_2(a)w_{-2} \\ c(v_{-1}) &= 2\pi i w_{-1} + 2\pi i Li_1(1-a)w_{-2} \\ c(v_{-2}) &= (2\pi i)^2 w_{-2} \end{aligned}$$

Appendix A. Properties of cap products

A.1. **Some facts on homotopy.** The following proposition is known as acyclic carrier theorem (See [14], Theorem 13.4, p76).

Proposition A.1. *Let K be a simplicial complex and $D_\bullet$ be a (homological) complex such that $D_i = 0$ for $i < 0$. Let $\varphi_\bullet : C_\bullet(K) \to D_{\bullet - p}$ be a homomorphism of complexes. We suppose that there exists a family of subcomplexes $\{L^\sigma_\bullet\}_{\sigma \in K}$ of $D_\bullet$ indexed by $\sigma \in K$ such that*

1. *$L^\tau \subset L^\sigma$ for $\tau, \sigma \in K, |\tau| \subset |\sigma|$,*
2. *$\varphi_\bullet(\sigma) \in L^\sigma_{\bullet - p}$ for all $\sigma \in K$, and*
3. *$H_k(L^\sigma_\bullet) = 0$ for $k > 0$.*
4. *the homology class of the cycle $\varphi_p(\sigma)$ in $H_0(L^\sigma)$ is zero for each p-simplex σ.*

Under the above assumptions, there exist homomorphisms $\theta_{p+q} : C_{p+q}(K) \to D_{q+1}$ $(q \geq 0)$, satisfying the following conditions:

(a) *$\delta\theta_{p+q} + \theta_{p+q-1}\delta = \varphi_{p+q}$ for $q \geq 0$. Here we set $\theta_{p-1} = 0$.*
(b) *$\theta_i(\sigma) \in L^\sigma_\bullet$.*

Proof. We construct maps θ_{p+q} inductively on q. We consider the case where $q = 0$. Let σ be a simplex of K_p. Since the homology class of $\varphi_p(\sigma)$ in $H_0(L^\sigma_\bullet)$ is zero, there exists an element $t_\sigma \in L^\sigma_1$ such that $\delta t_\sigma = \varphi(\sigma)$. By setting $\theta_p(\sigma) = t_\sigma$, we have a map $\theta_p : C_p(K) \to D_1$.

We assume that θ_{p+q} is constructed and construct θ_{p+q+1}. Let σ be an element in $C_{p+q+1}(K)$. Using the inductive assumption of the equality (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\varphi(\sigma) - \theta_{p+q}(\delta\sigma)) =& \varphi(\delta\sigma) - \delta\theta_{p+q}(\delta\sigma) \\ =& \varphi(\delta\sigma) + \theta_{p+q-1}(\delta\delta\sigma) - \varphi(\delta\sigma) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the inductive assumption of (b) and the assumption (1) and (2), we have $\theta_{p+q}(\delta\sigma) \in L^\sigma_{q+1}$, Since we have $\varphi(\sigma) \in L^\sigma$ by the assumption (2), $\varphi(\sigma) - \theta_{p+q}(\delta\sigma)$ is a closed element in L^σ_{q+1}. By the assumption (3), there exists an element $t_\sigma \in L^\sigma_{p+q+2}$ such that $\delta t_\sigma = \varphi(\sigma) - \theta_{p+q}(\delta\sigma)$. We define a morphism θ_{p+q+1} to be $\theta_{p+q+1}(\sigma) = t_\sigma$ and the map θ_{p+q+1} satisfies conditions (a) and (b) for $q+1$. □

A.2. **Independence of ordering.** Let K be a finite simplicial complex, L a full subcomplexes of K, $\mathcal{A}$ a sheaf on $|K|$, and $\mathcal{O}$ be a good ordering with respect to L. We set

$$W = \cup_{|\sigma| \cap L = \emptyset} |\sigma|.$$

Let T be a p-cocycle in $C^p(K, W)$ and $\varphi : C_r(K; \mathcal{A}) \to C_{r-p}(K; \mathcal{A})$ be the map defined by $\varphi(\alpha) = (-1)^{pr}(T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} \alpha)$ (Definition 3.4). Then the map φ is a homomorphism of complexes and its image is contained in $C_{\bullet - p}(L; \mathcal{A})$. Thus we have a homomorphism of complexes:

$$\varphi : C_\bullet(K; \mathcal{A}) \to C_{\bullet - p}(L; \mathcal{A}).$$

Let T' be a p-cocycle in $C^p(K,W)$ and set $\varphi'(\alpha) = (-1)^{pr}T' \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} \alpha$. If $T - T'$ is the coboundary of $w \in C^\bullet(K,W)$, i.e. $dw = T - T'$, then we have

$$(-1)^{pr}(\delta\psi + (-1)^p\psi\delta) = \varphi - \varphi'.$$

where $\psi(\alpha) = w \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} \alpha$. Therefore the homomorphism of homologies $[\varphi] : H_{p+q}(K;\mathcal{A}) \to H_q(L;\mathcal{A})$ induced by φ depends only on the cohomology class $[T]$ of T.

Let K^* be a subcomplex of K and set $L^* = K^* \cap L$. By restricting the homomorphism φ, we have a homomorphism of subcomplexes $C_{p+q}(K^*;\mathcal{A}) \to C_q(L^*;\mathcal{A})$ and a homomorphism of relative homologies

$$[\varphi] : H_{p+q}(K,K^*;\mathcal{A}) \to H_q(L,L^*;\mathcal{A}). \tag{1.2.1}$$

This homomorphism also depends only on the cohomology class $[T]$ of T in $H^p(K,W)$.

If p is even, $\varphi(\alpha)$ is equal to $T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} \alpha$, and the maps φ is denoted by $T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}$. ¿From now on, we assume that p is even.

Proposition A.2. *The homomorphism (1.2.1) is independent of the ordering $\mathcal{O}$.*

Lemma A.3. *Let T be a cocycle in $C^p(K,W)$ and $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}'$ be good orderings of K. Then there exists a map $\theta_{p+q} : C_{p+q}(K) \to C_{q+1}(L)$ $(q \geq 0)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) $\delta\theta_{p+q}(x) + \theta_{p+q-1}(\delta x) = T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} x - T \overset{\mathcal{O}'}{\cap} x$. *for $q \geq 0$. Here we set $\theta_{p-1} = 0$.*
(2) $\theta_{p+q}(\sigma) \in C_{q+1}(L \cap |\sigma|)$ *for each simplex $\sigma \in K_{p+q}$.*

Proof. We apply Proposition A.1 for $\varphi(x) = T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}x - T\overset{\mathcal{O}'}{\cap}x$, $D = C_\bullet(L)$ and $L^\sigma_\bullet = C_\bullet(L \cap |\sigma|)$. Conditions (1), (2) are easily verified. Since the complex L is a full subcomplex of K, the intersection $L \cap \sigma$ is a face of σ, and condition (3) is satisfied. We check condition (4). Let $\sigma = [v_0,\dots,v_p] = \pm[v'_0,\dots,v_p]$ be a p-simplex. Here $v_0 < \cdots < v_p$ for the ordering $\mathcal{O}$ and $v'_0 < \cdots < v'_p$ for the ordering $\mathcal{O}'$. Then we have $T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} \sigma = T(\sigma)[v_p]$ and $T \overset{\mathcal{O}'}{\cap} \sigma = T(\sigma)[v'_p]$ Since $[v_p]$ and $[v'_p]$ are in the same homology class in $H_0(L \cap |\sigma|)$, and (4) is proved. Thus we have a map satisfying conditions (1) and (2) in the lemma. □

Proof of Proposition A.2. There exist maps $\theta_{p+q} : C_{p+q}(K) \to C_{q+1}(L)$ satisfying conditions (1) and (2) of Lemma A.3. Let σ and τ be simplexes in K_{p+q} and L_{q+1}, respectively such that $|\tau| \subset |\sigma|$. The coefficients of τ in $\theta_{p+q}(\sigma)$ is denoted by $c_{\sigma,\tau} \in \mathbb{Z}$. In other words, $c_{\sigma,\tau}$ is defined by the equality

$$\theta_{p+q}(\sigma) = \sum_{\tau \in L_{q+1} \cap |\sigma|} c_{\sigma,\tau}\tau.$$

Let τ' be a simplex in L_q. Then by the equality in (1) in Lemma A.3, the coefficient of τ' in $T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} \sigma - T \overset{\mathcal{O}'}{\cap} \sigma$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau \in L_{q+1} \cap |\sigma| \\ \tau' \prec \tau}} [\tau : \tau']c_{\sigma,\tau} + \sum_{\substack{\sigma' \prec \sigma \\ \tau' \in L_q \cap |\sigma'|}} [\sigma : \sigma']c_{\sigma',\tau'}. \tag{1.2.2}$$

Here $[\tau : \xi]$ is the sign defined in (2.2.2).

Using the above coefficients $c_{\sigma,\tau}$, we define a map $\theta_{\mathcal{A}} : C_{p+q}(K;\mathcal{A}) \to C_{q+1}(L;\mathcal{A})$ by setting

$$\theta_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma \cdot a_\sigma) = \sum_{\tau \in L_{q+1} \cap |\sigma|} \tau \cdot c_{\sigma,\tau} a_\sigma|_\tau$$

for $\sigma \in K_{p+q}, a_\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma)$. Then for a simplex τ' in L_q, the coefficient of τ' in $T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} (\sigma \cdot a_\sigma) - T \overset{\mathcal{O}'}{\cap} (\sigma \cdot a_\sigma)$ is equal to $a_\sigma|_{\tau'}$ times the integer given as (1.2.2). It is equal to the coefficient of τ' in $(\delta\theta_{\mathcal{A}} + \theta_{\mathcal{A}}\delta)(\sigma \cdot a_\sigma)$. Therefore we have an equality

$$\delta\theta_{\mathcal{A}} + \theta_{\mathcal{A}}\delta = T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} - T \overset{\mathcal{O}'}{\cap}$$

as maps $C_{p+q}(K;\mathcal{A}) \to C_q(L;\mathcal{A})$.

By the property (2) of Lemma A.3, the image of $C_\bullet(K^*;\mathcal{A})$ under the map $\theta_{\mathcal{A}}$ is contained in $C_{\bullet-p+1}(L^*;\mathcal{A})$. As a consequence, the induced map

$$\theta_{\mathcal{A}} : C_\bullet(K, K^*;\mathcal{A}) \to C_{\bullet-p+1}(L, L^*;\mathcal{A})$$

gives a homotopy between two homomorphisms $T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}$ and $T\overset{\mathcal{O}'}{\cap}$. □

Since the homomorphism (1.2.1) depends only on the choice of cohomology class $[T]$ of T, it is written as $[T]\cap$.

A.3. **Compatibility with the subdivision map.** Let K be a simplicial complex, L a full subcomplex of K, and K' a subdivision of K. The subdivision of L induced by K' is denoted by L'. Then we have the following subdivision maps:

$$\lambda_* : C_\bullet(K;\mathcal{A}) \to C_\bullet(K';\mathcal{A}),$$
$$\lambda_* : C_\bullet(L;\mathcal{A}) \to C_\bullet(L';\mathcal{A}).$$

Let T be a closed element in $C^p(K')$ supported on L', i.e. $T(\sigma) = 0$ for $|\sigma| \cap L' = \emptyset$. Then the pull back $\lambda^* T \in C^p(K)$ of T is supported on L. We choose a good ordering $\mathcal{O}$ of K' with respect to L'. Then the restriction of $\mathcal{O}$ to K is also a good ordering. Then we have the following (generally non-commutative) diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_\bullet(K;\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\lambda^* T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}} & C_{\bullet-p}(L;\mathcal{A}) \\ \lambda_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_* \\ C_\bullet(K';\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{T\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}} & C_{\bullet-p}(L';\mathcal{A}). \end{array} \tag{1.3.3}$$

For a subcomplex K^* of K, we set $L^* = K^* \cap L$. The subdivisions of K^* and L^* induced by K' are denoted by $K^{*\prime}$ and $L^{*\prime}$, respectively. The homomorphisms of complexes appeared in the diagram (1.3.3) preserves subcomplexes $C_\bullet(K^*;\mathcal{A}), C_\bullet(L^*;\mathcal{A}), C_\bullet(K^{*\prime};\mathcal{A})$ and $C_\bullet(L^{*\prime};\mathcal{A})$. Therefore the diagram (1.3.3) induces the following diagram for relative cohomologies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{p+q}(K, K^*;\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{[\lambda^* T]\cap} & H_q(L, L^*;\mathcal{A}) \\ \lambda_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_* \\ H_{p+q}(K', K^{*\prime};\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{[T]\cap} & H_q(L', L^{*\prime};\mathcal{A}). \end{array} \tag{1.3.4}$$

Proposition A.4. *The diagram (1.3.4) is commutative.*

Lemma A.5. *We consider the diagram for $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}$ and two homomorphism of complexes*

$$\lambda_* \circ (\lambda^* T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\ ), (T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\ ) \circ \lambda_* : C_\bullet(K) \to C_{\bullet - p}(L')$$

There exist maps $\theta_{p+q} : C_{p+q}(K) \to C_{q+1}(L')$ such that

(1) $\delta\theta_{p+q}(x) + \theta_{p+q-1}(\delta x) = \lambda_*(\lambda^* T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\ x) - T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} (\lambda_* x)$, $(q \geq 0,\ \theta_{p-1} = 0)$ *and*
(2) $\theta_p(\sigma) \in C_\bullet(L' \cap |\sigma|)$ *for each simplex $\sigma \in K_p$.*

Proof. We apply Proposition A.1 for $\varphi(x) = \lambda_*(\lambda^* T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\ x) - T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} (\lambda_* x)$ and $L^\sigma_\bullet = C_\bullet(L' \cap |\sigma|)$. Conditions (1),(2) and (3) are easily verified. We claim that the condition (4) of Proposition A.1 holds for φ. Let $[v_0, \ldots, v_p] \in K_p$ and set $\lambda_* \sigma = \sum_j \sigma_j = \sum_j \pm [w_0^j, \ldots, w_p^j]$. Then we have

$$\lambda_*(\lambda^* T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} \sigma) = \sum_j T(\sigma_j)[v_p]$$

and

$$T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} (\lambda_* \sigma) = \sum_j T(\sigma_j)[w_p^j].$$

Since L is a full subcomplex of K, $\sigma \cap L$ is a simplex in σ, and $[v_p]$ and $[w_p^j]$ define the same homology class in $H_0(L^\sigma_\bullet)$. Thus condition (4) is satisfied. □

Proof of Proposition A.4. Using Lemma A.5 there exists maps $\theta_{p+q} : C_{p+q}(K) \to C_{q+1}(L')$ satisfying conditions (1) and (2) of the lemma. Then we have

$$\theta_{p+q}(\sigma) = \sum_{\tau \in L'_{q+1} \cap |\sigma|} c_{\sigma,\tau} \tau \quad (c_{\sigma,\tau} \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Using the above coefficients $c_{\sigma,\tau}$, we define a map $\theta_{\mathcal{A}} : C_{p+q}(K; \mathcal{A}) \to C_{q+1}(L'; \mathcal{A}|_L)$ by setting

$$\theta_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma \cdot a_\sigma) = \sum_{\tau \in L'_{q+1} \cap |\sigma|} c_{\sigma,\tau} \tau \cdot a_\sigma|_\tau$$

for $\sigma \in K_{p+q}, a_\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma)$. As in the proof of Proposition A.2, we have an equality

$$\delta\theta_{\mathcal{A}} + \theta_{\mathcal{A}}\delta = \lambda_*(\lambda^* T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\ x) - T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} (\lambda_* x) : C_{p+q}(K; \mathcal{A}) \to C_q(L'; \mathcal{A}|_L).$$

Using the property (2) of Lemma A.5, we have the induced map

$$\theta_{\mathcal{A}} : C_\bullet(K, K^*; \mathcal{A}) \to C_{\bullet - p+1}(L', L^{*\prime}; \mathcal{A}|_L)$$

which gives a homotopy between two homomorphisms $\lambda_*(\lambda^* T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}\ x)$ and $T \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} (\lambda_* x)$. □

A.4. **Relations between the cap product and the cup product.** Let K be a finite simplicial complex and L_1, L_2 sub complexes in K. Assume that $L_1, L_2, L_1 \cup L_2$ are full subcomplex of K. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a good ordering with respect to L_1,L_2 and $L_{12} = L_1 \cap L_2$. We set

$$W_i = \cup_{|\sigma| \cap L_i = \emptyset} |\sigma|.$$

Then $W_1 \cup W_2 = \cup_{|\sigma| \cap L_{12} = \emptyset} |\sigma|$, since $L_1 \cup L_2$ is a full subcomplex.

Definition A.6 (Cup product)**.** *For $T_1 \in C^p(K, W_1)$ and $T_2 \in C^q(K, W_2)$, we define the cup product $T_1 \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cup} T_2 \in C^{p+q}(K)$ by*

$$(T_1 \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cup} T_2)(\sigma) = T_1(v_0, \dots, v_p)T_2(v_p, \dots, v_{p+q})$$

where $\sigma = [v_0, \dots, v_{p+q}]$ with $v_0 < \cdots < v_{p+q}$. The cup product induces a homomorphism of complexes:

$$\overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cup} : C^\bullet(K, W_1) \otimes C^\bullet(K, W_2) \to C^\bullet(K).$$

Let K^* be a subcomplex of K and set $L_i^* = K^* \cap L_i$ and $L_{12}^* = K^* \cap L_{12}$.

Proposition A.7. (1) *The restriction of the cup product $T_1 \cup T_2$ to $W_1 \cup W_2$ vanishes.*

(2) *Let T_1 and T_2 be closed elements in $C^p(K, W_1)$ and $C^q(K, W_2)$ and set $T_{12} = T_1 \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cup} T_2$. Then the composite of the homomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} C_{p+q+r}(K, K^*; \mathcal{A}) &\xrightarrow{T_1 \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}} C_{q+r}(L_1, L_1^*; \mathcal{A}) \\ &\xrightarrow{T_2 \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}} C_r(L_{12}, L_{12}^*; \mathcal{A}) \end{aligned}$$

is equal to the homomorphism $T_{12} \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap}$.

As a consequence the composite of the following morphisms coincides with the cap product with $[T_{12}]$.

$$\begin{aligned} H_{p+q+r}(K, K^*; \mathcal{A}) &\xrightarrow{[T_1]\cap} H_{q+r}(L_1, L_1^*; \mathcal{A}) \\ &\xrightarrow{[T_2]\cap} H_r(L_{12}, L_{12}^*; \mathcal{A}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) For a simplex $\sigma = [v_0, \dots, v_{i+j}] \subset W_1 \cup W_2$, we have $\sigma \subset W_1$ or $\sigma \subset W_2$. Therefore $(T_1 \cup T_2)(\sigma) = 0$.

(2) Since the ordering $\mathcal{O}$ is good with respect to L_{12} and L_1, the cap products induces the following homomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} &: C_{p+q+r}(K; \mathcal{A}) \to C_{q+r}(K \cap L_1; \mathcal{A}), \\ T_2 \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} &: C_{j+k}(L \cap L_1; \mathcal{A}) \to C_k(K \cap L_{12}; \mathcal{A}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} (T_1 \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} [v_0, \dots, v_{i+j+k}]) &= T_2 \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} (T_1[v_0, \dots, v_i][v_0, \dots, v_i]) \\ &= T_1([v_0, \dots, v_i])T_2([v_i, \dots, v_{i+j}])[v_{i+j}, \dots, v_{i+j+k}] \\ &= (T_1 \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cup} T_2)([v_0, \dots, v_{i+j}])[v_{i+j}, \dots, v_{i+j+k}] \\ &= (T_1 \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cup} T_2) \overset{\mathcal{O}}{\cap} ([v_0, \dots, v_{i+j+k}]) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the statement (2). □

References

[1] Bloch,S., *The moving lemma for higher Chow groups*, J. Algebraic Geom. **3**, (1994), 537–568.
[2] Bloch,S., *Algebraic cycles and the Lie algebra of mixed Tate motives*, J.A.M.S. **4**, (1991), 771–791.
[3] Bloch, S., Kriz, I., *Mixed Tate Motives*, Ann. Math. **140**, 557-605.
[4] Bochnak, J., Coste, M., Roy, M., Real Algebraic Geometry, Springer, 1998
[5] Deligne, P., *Thèorie de Hodge II*, Publ. IHES **40** (1971), 5–57.
[6] Deligne, P., *Thèorie de Hodge III*, Publ. IHES **44** (1974), 5–77.
[7] Fulton, W., Intersection Theory, Springer, 1984.
[8] Hanamura, M., Kimura, K., Terasoma, T., *Integrals of logarithmic forms on semi-algebraic sets and a generalized Cauchy formula*, Part I: convergence theorems. arXiv:1509.06950.
[9] Hain, R., *On the indecomposable elements of the bar construction*, Proc. AMS, **98**, no. 2, (1986), 312–316.
[10] Hatcher, A., Algebraic Topology, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002.
[11] Hudson, J. F. P., *Piecewise linear topology*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1969.
[12] Iversen, B., Cohomology of sheaves, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
[13] Krishna, A., Levine, M., *Additive higher Chow groups of schemes*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **619** (2008), 75–140.
[14] Munkres, J., Elements of Algebraic Topology, Addison-Wesley, 1984.
[15] Steenrod, N. E., *Products of cocycles and extensions of mappings*, Ann. Math. **48**, (1947), 290–320.
[16] Zeeman, C., Seminar on Combinatorial Topology, Lecture notes, IHES, 1963